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#### Abstract

Upon introducing a one-parameter quadratic deformation of the $q$-boson algebra and a diagonal perturbation at the end point, we arrive at a semi-infinite $q$-boson system with a two-parameter boundary interaction. The eigenfunctions are shown to be given by Macdonald's hyperoctahedral Hall-Littlewood functions of type $B C$. It follows that the $n$-particle spectrum is bounded and absolutely continuous and that the corresponding scattering matrix factorizes as a product of two-particle bulk and one-particle boundary scattering matrices.


## 1. Introduction

The $q$-boson system [BIK] is a lattice discretization of the one-dimensional quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation [G2, G, KBI, Mt, S] built of particle creation and annihilation operators representing the $q$-oscillator algebra [KS, Ch. 5]. Its $n$-particle eigenfunctions are given by Hall-Littlewood functions [T, K, DE]. In the present paper we study a system of $q$-bosons on the semi-infinite lattice with boundary interactions, in the spirit of previous works concerned with the quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line G1, GLM, HL, CC, TW.

Specifically, by introducing at the end point creation and annihilation operators representing a quadratic deformation of the $q$-oscillator algebra together with a diagonal perturbation, we arrive at the hamiltonian of a $q$-boson system on the semi-infinite integer lattice endowed with a two-parameter boundary interaction. By means of an explicit formula for the action of the hamiltonian in the $n$-particle subspace, it is deduced that the Bethe Ansatz eigenfunctions are given by Macdonald's three-parameter Hall-Littlewood functions with hyperoctahedral symmetry associated with the $B C$-type root system [M, §10].

It follows that the $q$-boson system fits within a large class of discrete quantum models with bounded absolutely continous spectrum for which the scattering behaviour was determined in great detail by means of stationary phase techniques [R, D3. In particular, the $n$-particle scattering matrix is seen to factorize as a product of explicitly computed two-particle bulk and one-particle boundary scattering matrices.
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## 2. SEMI-INFINITE $q$-BOSON SYSTEM

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}:=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the algebraic Fock space consisting of finite linear combinations of $f_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, where $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ stands for the space of functions $f: \Lambda_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on the set of partitions of length at most $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}:=\left\{\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \mid \lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the additional convention that $\Lambda_{0}:=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right):=\mathbb{C}$. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the following actions on $f \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{F}$ :

$$
\left(\beta_{l} f\right)(\lambda):=f\left(\beta_{l}^{*} \lambda\right) \quad\left(\lambda \in \Lambda_{n-1}\right)
$$

if $n>0$ and $\beta_{l} f:=0$ if $n=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\beta_{l}^{*} f\right)(\lambda) & :=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[m_{l}(\lambda)\right]\left(1-c \delta_{l} q^{m_{0}(\lambda)-1}\right) f\left(\beta_{l} \lambda\right)} & \text { if } m_{l}(\lambda)>0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad\left(\lambda \in \Lambda_{n+1}\right),\right. \\
\left(q^{N_{l}+k} f\right)(\lambda) & :=q^{m_{l}(\lambda)+k} f(\lambda) \quad\left(\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $q, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|q| \neq 0,1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here

$$
\delta_{l}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { for } l=0, \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \quad[m]:=\frac{1-q^{m}}{1-q}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } m=0 \\
1+q+\cdots+q^{m-1} & \text { for } m>0\end{cases}\right.
$$

and the multiplicity $m_{l}(\lambda)$ counts the number of parts $\lambda_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ of size $\lambda_{j}=l$ (so $m_{0}(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda_{n}$ is equal to $n$ minus the number of nonzero parts), while $\beta_{l}^{*} \lambda \in \Lambda_{n+1}$ and $\beta_{l} \lambda \in \Lambda_{n-1}$ stand for the partitions obtained from $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}$ by inserting/deleting a part of size $l$, respectively (where it is assumed in the latter situation that $\left.m_{l}(\lambda)>0\right)$. It is clear from these definitions that $\beta_{l}, \beta_{l}^{*}$ and $q^{N_{l}+k}$ map $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ into $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n-1}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n+1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$, respectively (with the convention that $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{-1}\right)$ is the null space).

The operators in question represent a quadratic deformation of the $q$-boson field algebra at the boundary site $l=0$ parametrized by the constant $c$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\beta_{l} q^{N_{l}}=q^{N_{l}+1} \beta_{l}, & \beta_{l}^{*} q^{N_{l}}=q^{N_{l}-1} \beta_{l}^{*}, \\
\beta_{l} \beta_{l}^{*}=\left[N_{l}+1\right]\left(1-c \delta_{l} q^{N_{0}}\right), & {\left[\beta_{l}, \beta_{l}^{*}\right]_{q}=1-c \delta_{l} q^{2 N_{0}}} \tag{2.3a}
\end{array}
$$

and preserving the ultralocality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\beta_{l}, \beta_{k}\right]=\left[\beta_{l}^{*}, \beta_{k}^{*}\right]=\left[N_{l}, N_{k}\right]=\left[N_{l}, \beta_{k}\right]=\left[N_{l}, \beta_{k}^{*}\right]=\left[\beta_{l}, \beta_{k}^{*}\right]=0 \tag{2.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $l \neq k$ (where $[A, B]:=A B-B A,[A, B]_{q}:=A B-q B A$, and $\left[N_{l}+r\right]:=$ $\left.\left(1-q^{N_{l}+r}\right) /(1-q)\right)$.

When interpreting the characteristic function $|\lambda\rangle \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ supported on $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}$ as a state representing a configuration of $n$ particles on $\mathbb{N}$ such that $m_{l}(\lambda)$ particles are siting on the site $l \in \mathbb{N}$, it is clear that the operators $\beta_{l}$ and $\beta_{l}^{*}$ act as particle annihilation and creation operators:

$$
\beta_{l}|\lambda\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\beta_{l} \lambda\right\rangle & \text { if } m_{l}(\lambda)>0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \quad \beta_{l}^{*}|\lambda\rangle=\left[m_{l}(\lambda)+1\right]\left(1-c \delta_{l} q^{m_{0}(\lambda)}\right)\left|\beta_{l}^{*} \lambda\right\rangle\right.
$$

while $q^{N_{l}}$ counts the number of particles at the site $l$ (as a power of $q$ ):

$$
q^{N_{l}}|\lambda\rangle=q^{m_{l}(\lambda)}|\lambda\rangle
$$

The dynamics of our $q$-boson system is governed by a hamiltonian built of left and right hopping operators together with a diagonal boundary term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{q}=a\left[N_{0}\right]+\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\beta_{l+1} \beta_{l}^{*}+\beta_{l+1}^{*} \beta_{l}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a \in \mathbb{R}$. This hamiltonian constitutes a well-defined operator on $\mathcal{F}$ (2.1) as for any $f \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}$ the infinite sum $\left(\mathrm{H}_{q} f\right)(\lambda)$ contains only a finite number of nonvanishing terms.

## 3. The $n$-Particle hamiltonian and its eigenfunctions

By construction $\mathrm{H}_{q}(\sqrt{2.4})$ preserves the $n$-particle subspace $\mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$. The following proposition describes the action of the hamiltonian in this subspace explicitly.

Proposition 3.1 ( $n$-Particle hamiltonian). For any $f \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{q} f\right)(\lambda)=a\left[m_{0}(\lambda)\right] f(\lambda)+ \\
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda+e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}}\left(1-c \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{m_{0}(\lambda)-1}\right)\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right] f\left(\lambda+e_{j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda-e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}}\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right] f\left(\lambda-e_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ refer to the unit vectors comprising the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
Proof. It is clear from the definitions that $\left(\left[N_{0}\right] f\right)(\lambda)=\left[m_{0}(\lambda)\right] f(\lambda)$, and that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\left(\beta_{l+1} \beta_{l}^{*} f\right)(\lambda)= \begin{cases}{\left[m_{l}(\lambda)\right]\left(1-c \delta_{l} q^{m_{0}(\lambda)-1}\right) f\left(\beta_{l+1}^{*} \beta_{l} \lambda\right)} & \text { if } m_{l}(\lambda)>0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\beta_{l+1}^{*} \beta_{l} \lambda=\lambda+e_{j}$ with $j=\min \left\{k \mid \lambda_{k}=l\right\}$ (so $l=\lambda_{j}$ ), and

$$
\left(\beta_{l+1}^{*} \beta_{l} f\right)(\lambda)= \begin{cases}{\left[m_{l+1}(\lambda)\right] f\left(\beta_{l+1} \beta_{l}^{*} \lambda\right)} & \text { if } m_{l+1}(\lambda)>0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\beta_{l+1} \beta_{l}^{*} \lambda=\lambda-e_{j}$ with $j=\max \left\{k \mid \lambda_{k}=l+1\right\}\left(\right.$ so $\left.l=\lambda_{j}-1\right)$.
The $n$-particle hamiltonian has Bethe Ansatz eigenfunctions given by the following plane wave expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\xi}(\lambda):=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n} \\ \epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}^{n}}} C\left(\epsilon \xi_{\sigma}\right) e^{i\left\langle\lambda, \epsilon \xi_{\sigma}\right\rangle} \tag{3.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with expansion coefficients of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
C(\xi) & :=\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{1-a e^{-i \xi_{j}}+c e^{-2 i \xi_{j}}}{1-e^{-2 i \xi_{j}}}  \tag{3.1b}\\
& \times \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq n}\left(\frac{1-q e^{-i\left(\xi_{j}-\xi_{k}\right)}}{1-e^{-i\left(\xi_{j}-\xi_{k}\right)}}\right)\left(\frac{1-q e^{-i\left(\xi_{j}+\xi_{k}\right)}}{1-e^{-i\left(\xi_{j}+\xi_{k}\right)}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \epsilon \xi_{\sigma}:=\left(\epsilon_{1} \xi_{\sigma_{1}}, \epsilon_{2} \xi_{\sigma_{2}}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n} \xi_{\sigma_{n}}\right)$, and the summation is meant over all permutations $\sigma$ in the symmetric group $S_{n}$
and all sign configurations $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right) \in\{1,-1\}^{n}$. Viewed as a function of the spectral parameter $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ in the fundamental alcove

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \pi>\xi_{1}>\xi_{2}>\cdots>\xi_{n}>0\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the expression $\phi_{\xi}(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda_{n}$ amounts to Macdonald's three-parameter Hall-Littlewood polynomial with hyperoctahedral symmetry associated with the root system $B C_{n}$ [M, §10].
Proposition 3.2 (Bethe Ansatz eigenfunctions). The n-particle Bethe Ansatz wave function $\phi_{\xi}, \xi \in A$ solves the eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{q} \phi_{\xi}=E_{n}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}, \quad E_{n}(\xi):=2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \cos \left(\xi_{j}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that the stated eigenvalue equation boils down to the following identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left[m_{0}(\lambda)\right] \phi_{\xi}(\lambda) & +\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda+e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}}\left(1-c \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{m_{0}(\lambda)-1}\right)\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right] \phi_{\xi}\left(\lambda+e_{j}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda-e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}}\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right] \phi_{\xi}\left(\lambda-e_{j}\right)=2 \phi_{\xi}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \cos \left(\xi_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is in turn equivalent to the Pieri formula for the hyperoctahedral HallLittlewood function in Eq. (A.3) of Appendix A.

## 4. Diagonalization

From now on it will be assumed unless stated otherwise that $0<|q|<1$ and that the boundary parameters $a$ and $c$ are chosen such that the roots $r_{1}, r_{2}$ of the quadratic polynomial $r^{2}-a r+c$ belong to the interval $(-1,1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=r_{1}+r_{2} \text { and } c=r_{1} r_{2} \text { with } r_{1}, r_{2} \in(-1,1) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L^{2}(A, \Delta \mathrm{~d} \xi)$ be the Hilbert space of functions $\hat{f}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ characterized by the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\hat{f}, \hat{g}\rangle_{\Delta}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{A} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} \Delta(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi, \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta(\xi):=\frac{1}{|C(\xi)|^{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C(\xi)$ given by Eq. (3.1b). It is well-known that for the parameter regime in question Macdonald's hyperoctahedral Hall-Littlewood functions form an orthogonal basis of $L^{2}(A, \Delta \mathrm{~d} \xi)$ [M] §10]:

$$
\langle\phi(\lambda), \phi(\mu)\rangle_{\Delta}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{N}(\lambda) & \text { if } \lambda=\mu  \tag{4.3a}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}(\lambda):=(c ; q)_{m_{0}(\lambda)} \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}\left[m_{\ell}(\lambda)\right]! \tag{4.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(c ; q)_{m}:=(1-c)(1-c q) \cdots\left(1-c q^{m-1}\right)$ (and the convention that $\left.(c ; q)_{0}:=1\right)$ and $[m]!:=(q ; q)_{m} /(q ; q)_{1}^{m}=[m][m-1] \cdots[2][1]$. By combining the orthogonality
in Eqs. 4.3a), 4.3b with Proposition 3.2 the spectral decomposition of $\mathrm{H}_{q}$ in the $n$-particle Hilbert space $\ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}, \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right) \subset \mathcal{F}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ characterized by the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{n}:=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}} f(\lambda) \overline{g(\lambda)} \mathcal{N}^{-1}(\lambda) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

becomes immediate.
Theorem 4.1 (Diagonalization). For $0<|q|<1$ and values of the boundary parameters $a$ and $c$ in the orthogonality domain (4.1), the $q$-boson Hamiltonian $H_{q}$ (2.4) restricts to a bounded self-adjoint operator in $\ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}, \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right)$ with purely absolutely continuous spectrum. More specifically, its spectral decomposition reads explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{q}=\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{-1} \circ \hat{E} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}: \ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}, \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}(A, \Delta d \xi)$ denotes the unitary Fourier transform associated with the hyperoctahedral Macdonald-Hall-Littlewood basis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}} f\right)(\xi):=\left\langle f, \phi_{\xi}\right\rangle_{n}=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}} f(\lambda) \overline{\phi_{\xi}(\lambda)} \mathcal{N}^{-1}(\lambda) \tag{4.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(f \in \ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}, \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right)\right)$ with the inversion formula given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{-1} \hat{f}\right)(\lambda)=\langle\hat{f}, \overline{\phi(\lambda)}\rangle_{\Delta}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{A} \hat{f}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}(\lambda) \Delta(\xi) d \xi \tag{4.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\hat{f} \in L^{2}(A, \Delta d \xi)\right)$, and $(\hat{E} \hat{f})(\xi):=E_{n}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$ stands for the bounded real multiplication operator in $L^{2}(A, \Delta d \xi)$ associated with the n-particle eigenvalue $E_{n}(\xi)$ (3.3).

In the Fock space $\mathcal{H}:=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}, \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right)$, built of all linear combinations $\sum_{n \geq 0} c_{n} f_{n}$ with $c_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f_{n} \in \ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}, \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right)$ such that $\sum_{n \geq 0}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\left\langle f_{n}, f_{n}\right\rangle_{n}<$ $\infty$, the $q$-boson hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}_{q}$ (2.4) constitutes an unbounded operator that is essentially self-adjoint on the dense domain $\mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ (because for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ the range $\left(\mathrm{H}_{q}-z\right) \mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\xi \in A}\left|E_{n}(\xi)\right|=\infty\right)$. The representation of the deformed $q$-boson field algebra in Section 2 on the other hand gives rise to a bounded representation on $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\beta_{l} f, \beta_{l} f\right\rangle_{n-1} & \leq \frac{1+|c| \delta_{l}}{1-q}\langle f, f\rangle_{n} \\
\left\langle\beta_{l}^{*} f, \beta_{l}^{*} f\right\rangle_{n+1} & \leq \frac{1+|c| \delta_{l}}{1-q}\langle f, f\rangle_{n} \\
\left\langle q^{N_{l}} f, q^{N_{l}} f\right\rangle_{n} & \leq\langle f, f\rangle_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

preserving the $*$-structure:

$$
\left\langle\beta_{l}^{*} f, g\right\rangle_{n+1}=\left\langle f, \beta_{l} g\right\rangle_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle q^{N_{l}} f, g\right\rangle_{n}=\left\langle f, q^{N_{l}} g\right\rangle_{n} .
$$

Remark 4.2. Upon rescaling the lattice $\Lambda_{n}$ (2.2) and performing an appropriate continuum limit [D2, Sec. 5], Macdonald's hyperoctahedral Hall-Littlewood functions tend to the eigenfunctions of the quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line with a boundary interaction (G1, GLM, HL, CC, TW]. In particular, it follows from [D2, Sec. 5.3] that for $a=0$ (which corresponds to a reduction from type $B C$ to type $C$ root systems) a renormalized version of the $q$-boson hamiltonian
$\mathrm{H}_{q}$ (2.4) then converges in the $n$-particle subspace in the strong resolvent sense to a hamiltonian that can be written formally as:

$$
-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}+g \sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n}\left(\delta\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)+\delta\left(x_{j}+x_{k}\right)\right)+g_{0} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

with $g, g_{0}>0$ (where $\delta(\cdot)$ stands for the 'delta potential').

## 5. FACTORIZED SCATTERING

The similarity transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H:=\mathcal{N}^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{H}_{q} \mathcal{N}^{1 / 2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

turns the $n$-particle $q$-boson hamiltonian in Proposition 3.1 into a self-adjoint operator in $\ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ diagonalized by the normalized wave function

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\xi}(\lambda) & :=e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} n^{2}}|C(\xi)|^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\lambda)^{-1 / 2} \phi_{\xi}(\lambda) \\
& =\mathcal{N}(\lambda)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n} \\
\epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}^{n}}} \operatorname{sign}(\epsilon \sigma) \hat{\mathcal{S}}\left(\epsilon \xi_{\sigma}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{i\left\langle\rho+\lambda, \epsilon \xi_{\sigma}\right\rangle} \tag{5.2a}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\xi \in A$ (3.2), $\operatorname{sign}(\epsilon \sigma):=\epsilon_{1} \cdots \epsilon_{n} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma), \rho:=(n-1, n-2, \ldots, 2,1,0)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\xi):=\prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq n} s\left(\xi_{j}-\xi_{k}\right) s\left(\xi_{j}+\xi_{k}\right) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} s_{0}\left(\xi_{j}\right) \tag{5.2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x):=\frac{1-q e^{-i x}}{1-q e^{i x}} \quad \text { with } \quad s(x)^{1 / 2}=\frac{1-q e^{-i x}}{\left|1-q e^{i x}\right|} \tag{5.2c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}(x):=\frac{1-a e^{-i x}+c e^{-2 i x}}{1-a e^{i x}+c e^{2 i x}} \quad \text { with } \quad s_{0}(x)^{1 / 2}=\frac{1-a e^{-i x}+c e^{-2 i x}}{\left|1-a e^{i x}+c e^{2 i x}\right|} \tag{5.2~d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specifically, one has that $H=\boldsymbol{F}^{-1} \circ \hat{E} \circ \boldsymbol{F}$ where $\boldsymbol{F}: \ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}(A, \mathrm{~d} \xi)$ denotes the unitary Fourier transformation determined by the kernel $\Psi_{\xi}(\lambda)$ (and $\hat{E}$ is now interpreted as a bounded multiplication operator in $\left.L^{2}(A, \mathrm{~d} \xi)\right)$. For $q, a, c \rightarrow 0$ the $n$-particle $q$-boson hamiltonian $H$ (5.1) simplifies to a hamiltonian modeling impenetrable bosons on $\mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\left(H_{0} f\right)(\lambda)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda+e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} f\left(\lambda+e_{j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda-e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} f\left(\lambda-e_{j}\right)
$$

$\left(f \in \ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)\right)$, which is diagonalized by the conventional Fourier transform $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : $\ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}(A, \mathrm{~d} \xi)$ obtained from $\boldsymbol{F}$ by setting $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\xi) \equiv 1, \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \equiv 1$.

As a very special case of the results in [D3, Sec. 4], it now follows that the waveand scattering operators comparing the $q$-boson dynamics

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{i t H} f\right)(\lambda)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{A} e^{i t E_{n}(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) \Psi_{\xi}(\lambda) \mathrm{d} \xi \quad \hat{f}=\boldsymbol{F} f \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the corresponding impenetrable boson dynamics generated by $H_{0}$ are governed by a unitary $S$-matrix $\hat{\mathcal{S}}: L^{2}(A, \mathrm{~d} \xi) \rightarrow L^{2}(A, \mathrm{~d} \xi)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\hat{\mathcal{S}} \hat{f})(\xi):=\hat{\mathcal{S}}\left(\epsilon_{\xi} \xi_{\sigma_{\xi}}\right) \hat{f}(\xi) \quad\left(\hat{f} \in C_{0}\left(A_{r}\right)\right. \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C_{0}\left(A_{r}\right)$ denotes the dense subspace of $L^{2}(A, \mathrm{~d} \xi)$ consisting of smooth test functions with compact support in the open dense subset $A_{r} \subset A$ for which the components of $\nabla E_{n}(\xi)=\left(-2 \sin \left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots,-2 \sin \left(\xi_{n}\right)\right)$ do not vanish and are all distinct in absolute value, and the sign-configuration $\epsilon_{\xi}$ and the permutation $\sigma_{\xi}$ are such that the components of $\nabla E_{n}\left(\epsilon_{\xi} \xi_{\sigma_{\xi}}\right)$ are all positive and ordered from large to small. Specifically, by comparing the large-time asymptotics of oscillatory integrals of the form in Eq. (5.3) for the dynamics generated by $H$ and $H_{0}$ one concludes that [D3, Thm. 4.2 and Cor. 4.3]:

Theorem 5.1 (Wave and scattering operators). The operator limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{ \pm}:=s-\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} e^{i t H} e^{-i t H_{0}} \tag{5.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

converge in the strong $\ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$-norm topology and the corresponding wave operators $\Omega_{r}^{ \pm}$are given by unitary operators in $\ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{r}^{ \pm}=\boldsymbol{F}^{-1} \circ \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\mp 1 / 2} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{0}} \tag{5.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the scattering operator comparing the dynamics of $H$ and $H_{0}$ is given by the unitary operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}:=\left(\Omega_{r}^{+}\right)^{-1} \Omega_{r}^{-}=\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{0}}{ }^{-1} \circ \hat{\mathcal{S}} \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{0}} \tag{5.5c}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Appendix A. Pieri formula for Macdonald's hyperoctahedral Hall-Littlewood function

Let $x:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(e^{i \xi_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \xi_{n}}\right)$ and $\tau:=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$, where $\tau_{j}=r q^{n-j}$ $(j=1, \ldots, n)$ with $r=\frac{a}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}-c}$ (cf. Eq. (4.1)). Upon setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\lambda}(x):=\frac{\tau_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \tau_{n}^{\lambda_{n}}}{\mathcal{N}(0)} \phi_{\xi}(\lambda) \quad\left(\lambda \in \Lambda_{n}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}(0)$ is given by Eq. (4.3b) with $\lambda=0$, the hyperoctahedral Hall-Littlewood function is renormalized to have unital principal specialization values: $P_{\lambda}(\tau)=1$ $\left(\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_{n}\right)[\mathrm{M}, \S 10]$. With this normalization, the following Pieri formula holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\lambda}(x) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}+x_{j}^{-1}-\tau_{j}-\tau_{j}^{-1}\right)=  \tag{A.2}\\
& \quad \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda+e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} V_{j}^{+}(\lambda)\left(P_{\lambda+e_{j}}(x)-P_{\lambda}(x)\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda-e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} V_{j}^{-}(\lambda)\left(P_{\lambda-e_{j}}(x)-P_{\lambda}(x)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j}^{+}(\lambda) & =\tau_{j}^{-1}\left(\frac{1-c^{2} \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{2(n-j)}}{1+c \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{2(n-j)}}\right) \prod_{\substack{j<k \leq n \\
\lambda_{k}=\lambda_{j}}}\left(\frac{1-q^{1+k-j}}{1-q^{k-j}}\right)\left(\frac{1+c \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{1+2 n-k-j}}{1+c \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{2 n-k-j}}\right) \\
V_{j}^{-}(\lambda) & =\tau_{j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k<j \\
\lambda_{k}=\lambda_{j}}}\left(\frac{1-q^{1+j-k}}{1-q^{j-k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula in question is readily obtained through degeneration from an analogous Pieri formula for a $B C_{n}$-type Macdonald function that arises as a special case of the Pieri formulas in [D1, Sec. 6.1]. Specifically, by substituting $t_{2}=q^{1 / 2}$,
$t_{3}=-q^{1 / 2}$ (which amounts to a reduction from the Macdonald-Koornwinder function to the $B C_{n}$-type Macdonald function) in the Pieri formula of [D1, Eqs. (6.4), (6.5)] with coefficients taken from [D1, Eqs. (6.12), (6.13)], the relation in Eq. (A.2) is retrieved for $q \rightarrow 0$ (which corresponds to a transition from Macdonald type functions to Hall-Littlewood type functions). Notice in this connection that the parameters $q, a, c$ (and $r$ ) of the present paper are related to the parameters $t$, $t_{0}, t_{1}$ of Ref. D1 via $q=t, a=t_{0}+t_{1}, c=t_{0} t_{1}$ (and $r=t_{0}$ ).

Since

$$
V_{j}^{+}(\lambda)=\tau_{j}^{-1}\left(1-c \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{m_{0}(\lambda)-1}\right)\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right], \quad V_{j}^{-}(\lambda)=\tau_{j}\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right]
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\tau_{j}+\tau_{j}^{-1}\right)-\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda-e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} \tau_{j}\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right]-\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda+e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} \tau_{j}^{-1}\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right]=r\left[m_{0}(\lambda)\right]
$$

the Pieri formula (A.2) can be condensed into the more compact form

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\lambda}(x) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}+x_{j}^{-1}\right) & =a\left[m_{0}(\lambda)\right]+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda-e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} \tau_{j}\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right] P_{\lambda-e_{j}}(x)  \tag{A.3}\\
& +\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\
\lambda+e_{j} \in \Lambda_{n}}} \tau_{j}^{-1}\left(1-c \delta_{\lambda_{j}} q^{m_{0}(\lambda)-1}\right)\left[m_{\lambda_{j}}(\lambda)\right] P_{\lambda+e_{j}}(x) .
\end{align*}
$$
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