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#### Abstract

We prove an optimal a priori error estimate for the $h p$-version of the boundary element method with hypersingular operators on piecewise plane open or closed surfaces. The underlying meshes are supposed to be quasi-uniform.

The solutions of problems on polyhedral or piecewise plane open surfaces exhibit typical singularities which limit the convergence rate of the boundary element method. On closed surfaces, and for sufficiently smooth given data, the solution is $H^{1}$-regular whereas, on open surfaces, edge singularities are strong enough to prevent the solution from being in $H^{1}$.

In this paper we cover both cases and, in particular, prove an optimal a priori error estimate for the $h$-version with quasi-uniform meshes. For open surfaces we prove a convergence like $O\left(h^{1 / 2} p^{-1}\right), h$ being the mesh size and $p$ denoting the polynomial degree.
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## 1 Introduction

We study the $h p$-version of the boundary element Galerkin method (BEM) for hypersingular integral operators on piecewise plane surfaces. The particularly important case of open surfaces is included. We prove an optimal a priori error estimate for the $h p$-version with quasi-uniform meshes. Fixing polynomial degrees our result yields new optimal error estimates for the $h$ version.

The first paper on the $p$-version of the BEM for problems in three dimensions appeared 1996, [16]. It covers only polyhedral domains (and hypersingular operators) where solutions are in $H^{1}$ (on the boundary). The second paper [13], which appeared 1999, analyses the $h p$-version of the BEM with geometrically graded meshes on open surfaces, for hypersingular and weakly

[^0]singular operators. This method uses appropriate combinations of graded meshes and highly non-uniform polynomial degrees to achieve a convergence that is faster than algebraic, even in the presence of strong singularities that are inherent to problems on open surfaces. From those results one cannot, however, deduce a priori error estimates for the $p$-version or $h p$-version with quasi-uniform meshes. In the latter cases polynomial degrees are large also on elements close to the singularities, whereas the $h p$-version with geometrically graded meshes uses lowest order polynomials at the singularities. The $h p$-version with geometrically graded meshes is numerically convincing and well analysed. However, the analysis of high order approximations of singularities is challenging and with this paper we fill one of the gaps in the existing literature.

In our previous paper [7] we studied the $p$-version of the BEM for hypersingular operators on open surfaces. The strongest singularities of typical solutions are edge singularities which behave like $y^{1 / 2}$ where $y$ denotes the distance to an edge of the surface. Let us denote this surface by $\Gamma$. Then this edge singularity is in the Sobolev space $H^{1-\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, but it is not an element of $H^{1}(\Gamma)$. The energy space of hypersingular operators is $\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$, sometimes denoted by $H_{00}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. (For a definition of the Sobolev spaces see Section 3 below.) Therefore, in order to find an optimal a priori error estimate, one has to analyse the approximation in $\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ of a function which is not in $H^{1}(\Gamma)$. One possibility to deal with this is to introduce weighted Sobolev spaces. In particular, Jacobi-weighted Sobolev and Besov spaces are appropriate to prove optimal error estimates for the $p$-version, see [12] for the BEM in two dimensions and $[3,11]$ for the FEM in two and three dimensions. In order to obtain error estimates in the energy norm, a key ingredient is to prove that the interpolation between appropriate weighted spaces reproduces the energy space. For the space $\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}$ on open curves or surfaces this result is not immediate. In two dimensions it can be proved by using arguments of complex analysis (see [6, Lemma 3.1]) and in three dimensions this is open. In this paper we follow the strategy of [7] and avoid the use of weighted spaces by performing the approximation analysis in fractional order Sobolev spaces.

For the particular edge singularity $y^{1 / 2}$ we proved a convergence like $O\left(p^{-1}\right)$ for the $p$ version [7]. Here, $p$ denotes the polynomial degree of the approximating functions. In this paper, we extend the analysis to the $h p$-version and the corresponding error estimate for the edge singularity gives an upper bound that behaves like $O\left(h^{1 / 2} p^{-1}\right)$. Here, $h$ refers to the maximum diameter of the elements.

Fixing polynomial degrees, our results on the $h p$-version in particular prove optimal a priori error estimates for the $h$-version of the BEM with quasi-uniform meshes. In fact only very little has been proved for the $h$-version of the BEM in three dimensions. For problems with singularities we only know of [20] where von Petersdorff and Stephan present a sub-optimal error estimate (for quasi-uniform and graded meshes). In the case of an open surface their result states an error bound like $O\left(h^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}\right)$ for piecewise polynomial approximations of lowest order on quasi-uniform meshes. Here, $\varepsilon>0$ and the leading error term contains a factor $C(\varepsilon)$ whose behaviour for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is unknown. Fixing $p$ in this paper we prove an error bound like $O\left(h^{1 / 2}\right)$ for any polynomial degree.

To prove results for the $h p$-version with quasi-uniform meshes one usually tries to make
use of $p$-version results by scaling arguments. For the finite element method in two dimensions see [4] and for the BEM in two dimensions we refer to [18]. There are, however, two principal difficulties. First, $p$-version analysis employs different polynomial degrees in different parts of the approximation. When only $p$-asymptotic estimates are wanted one approximates, for instance, polynomial jumps of degree $p$ over element interfaces by polynomial extensions of degree $2 p+1$ (cf. Lemma 3.4 below). This is not possible when aiming at $h$-version results where polynomial degrees are fixed (e.g. uniformly at $p$ ). In that sense $h p$-estimates do not directly follow from corresponding $p$-estimates by scaling arguments. Second, in this paper we are considering threedimensional problems where different types of singularities appear. This fact, together with the need to directly work in fractional order Sobolev spaces, makes the use of scaling arguments non-trivial.

Our analysis applies to open and closed surfaces which must be piecewise plane such that they can be discretised by meshes consisting of triangles and parallelograms. For ease of presentation we assume that $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ is a plane open surface with polygonal boundary. Our model problem reads: Find $u \in \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle W u, v\rangle=\langle f, v\rangle \quad \forall v \in \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $f \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ is a given functional and $W$ is the hypersingular operator

$$
W u(x):=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{x}} \int_{\Gamma} u(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{y}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} d S_{y} .
$$

The operator $W: \quad \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ is continuous, symmetric and positive definite such that any finite element method for (1.1) (then called boundary element method) converges quasioptimally, see [9] and [17]. Here, $H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ is the dual space of $\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ and the latter is defined below.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In the next section we define the $h p$-version of the BEM, recall a regularity result for the solution of (1.1), and formulate the main theorem stating an optimal a priori error estimate for the $h p$-version of the BEM. In Section 3 we introduce the Sobolev spaces and collect several technical results. Of particular importance is Lemma 3.5 which bounds a fractional order norm by local contributions. This is needed to join local approximation results in fractional spaces to form a global estimate. Sections 4-6 are focused on the approximation analysis of particular singularities. In Section 7 we prove a general approximation theorem and the main result given in Section 2.

## $2 h p$-BEM and optimal a priori error estimate

For the approximate solution of (1.1) we apply the $h p$-version of the BEM on quasi-uniform meshes. In what follows, $h>0$ and $p \geq 1$ will always specify the mesh parameter and a polynomial degree, respectively. For any $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ we will denote $\rho_{\Omega}=\sup \{\operatorname{diam}(B) ; B$ is a ball in $\Omega\}$. By $A \simeq B$ we mean that $A$ is equivalent to $B$, i.e., there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $C B \leq A \leq C^{-1} B$ where $B$ and $A$ may depend on a parameter (usually $h$ or $p$ ) but $C$ does not.

Let $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\Delta_{h}\right\}$ be a family of meshes $\Delta_{h}=\left\{\Gamma_{j} ; j=1, \ldots, J\right\}$ on $\Gamma$, where $\Gamma_{j}$ are open triangles or parallelograms such that $\bar{\Gamma}=\cup_{j=1}^{J} \bar{\Gamma}_{j}$. For any $\Gamma_{j} \in \Delta_{h}$ we will denote $h_{j}=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ and $\rho_{j}=\rho_{\Gamma_{j}}$. Let $h=\max _{j} h_{j}$. In this paper we will consider a family $\mathcal{M}$ of quasi-uniform meshes $\Delta_{h}$ on $\Gamma$ in the sense that there exist positive constants $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ independent of $h$ such that for any $\Gamma_{j} \in \Delta_{h}$ and arbitrary $\Delta_{h} \in \mathcal{M}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \leq \sigma_{1} h_{j}, \quad h_{j} \leq \sigma_{2} \rho_{j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q=(-1,1)^{2}$ and $T=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; 0<x_{1}<1,0<x_{2}<x_{1}\right\}$ be the reference square and triangle, respectively. Then for any $\Gamma_{j} \in \Delta_{h}$ one has $\Gamma_{j}=M_{j}(K)$, where $M_{j}$ is an affine mapping with Jacobian $\left|J_{j}\right| \simeq h_{j}^{2}$ and $K=Q$ or $T$ as appropriate.

Below we will refer to three different unions of elements. The union of the elements at a node $v$ is denoted by $A_{v}$, i.e., $\bar{A}_{v}:=\cup\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{j} ; v \in \bar{\Gamma}_{j}\right\}$, the union of the elements at one edge $e$ by $A_{e}$ (the endpoints of $e$ are not included in $e$ ), $\bar{A}_{e}:=\cup\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{j} ; \bar{\Gamma}_{j} \cap e \neq \varnothing\right\}$, and $A_{e v}:=A_{v} \cap A_{e}$.

Further, $\mathcal{P}_{p}(I)$ denotes the set of polynomials of degree $\leq p$ on an interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{1}(T)$ is the set of polynomials on $T$ of total degree $\leq p$, and $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{2}(Q)$ is the set of polynomials on $Q$ of degree $\leq p$ in each variable. Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be an arbitrary triangle or parallelogram, and let $K=M(T)$ or $K=M(Q)$ with an invertible affine mapping $M$. Then by $\mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ we will denote the set of polynomials $v$ on $K$ such that $v \circ M \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{1}(T)$ if $K$ is a triangle and $v \circ M \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{2}(Q)$ if $K$ is a parallelogram (in particular, we will use this notation for $K=Q$ and $K=T$ ). For given $p$, we then consider the space of continuous, piecewise polynomials on the mesh $\Delta_{h} \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma):=\left\{v \in C^{0}(\Gamma) ;\left.v\right|_{\partial \Gamma}=0,\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{j}} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, J\right\}
$$

Note that $V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma) \subset \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. Now, the $h p$-version of the BEM is: Find $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle W u_{h p}, v\right\rangle=\langle f, v\rangle \quad \forall v \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before giving our main result stating an optimal a priori error estimate for (2.2) let us recall the typical structure of the solution of the model problem for a sufficiently smooth right-hand side function $f$.

Theorem 2.1 [20] Let $V$ and $E$ denote the sets of vertices and edges of $\Gamma$, respectively. For $v \in V$, let $E(v)$ denote the set of edges with $v$ as an end point. Then, for sufficiently smooth given $f$, the solution $u$ of (1.1) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{\mathrm{reg}}+\sum_{e \in E} u^{e}+\sum_{v \in V} u^{v}+\sum_{v \in V} \sum_{e \in E(v)} u^{e v}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, using local coordinate systems $\left(r_{v}, \theta_{v}\right)$ and $\left(x_{e 1}, x_{e 2}\right)$ with origin $v$, there hold the following representations:
(i) The regular part $u_{\mathrm{reg}} \in H^{k}(\Gamma), k>3 / 2$.
(ii) The edge singularities $u^{e}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{e}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{e}}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{s_{j}^{e}} b_{j s}^{e}\left(x_{e 1}\right)\left|\log x_{e 2}\right|^{s}\right) x_{e 2}^{\gamma_{j}^{e}} \chi_{1}^{e}\left(x_{e 1}\right) \chi_{2}^{e}\left(x_{e 2}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{j+1}^{e} \geq \gamma_{j}^{e} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and $m_{e}, s_{j}^{e}$ are integers. Here, $\chi_{1}^{e}, \chi_{2}^{e}$ are $C^{\infty}$ cut-off functions with $\chi_{1}^{e}=1$ in a certain distance to the end points of $e$ and $\chi_{1}^{e}=0$ in a neighbourhood of these vertices. Moreover, $\chi_{2}^{e}=1$ for $0 \leq x_{e 2} \leq \delta_{e}$ and $\chi_{2}^{e}=0$ for $x_{e 2} \geq 2 \delta_{e}$ with some $\delta_{e} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. The functions $b_{j s}^{e} \chi_{1}^{e} \in H^{m}(e)$ for $m$ as large as required.
(iii) The vertex singularities $u^{v}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{v}=\chi^{v}\left(r_{v}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{v}} \sum_{t=0}^{q_{i}^{v}} B_{i t}^{v}\left|\log r_{v}\right|^{t} r_{v}^{\lambda_{v}^{v}} w_{i t}^{v}\left(\theta_{v}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i+1}^{v} \geq \lambda_{i}^{v}>0, n_{v}, q_{i}^{v} \geq 0$ are integers, and $B_{i t}^{v}$ are real numbers. Here, $\chi^{v}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ cut-off function with $\chi^{v}=1$ for $0 \leq r_{v} \leq \tau_{v}$ and $\chi^{v}=0$ for $r_{v} \geq 2 \tau_{v}$ with some $\tau_{v} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. The functions $w_{i t}^{v} \in H^{q}\left(0, \omega_{v}\right)$ for $q$ as large as required. Here, $\omega_{v}$ denotes the interior angle (on $\Gamma$ ) between the edges meeting at $v$.
(iv) The edge-vertex singularities $u^{e v}$ have the form

$$
u^{e v}=u_{1}^{e v}+u_{2}^{e v},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{e v}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{e}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{v}}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{s_{j}^{e}} \sum_{t=0}^{q_{i}^{v}} \sum_{l=0}^{s} B_{i j l t s}^{e v}\left|\log x_{e 1}\right|^{s+t-l}\left|\log x_{e 2}\right|^{l}\right) x_{e 1}^{\lambda_{i}^{v}-\gamma_{j}^{e}} x_{e 2}^{\gamma_{j}^{e}} \chi^{v}\left(r_{v}\right) \chi^{e v}\left(\theta_{v}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{e v}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{e}} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{j}^{e}} B_{j s}^{e v}\left(r_{v}\right)\left|\log x_{e 2}\right|^{s} x_{e 2}^{\gamma_{j}^{e}} \chi^{v}\left(r_{v}\right) \chi^{e v}\left(\theta_{v}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j s}^{e v}\left(r_{v}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{s} B_{j s l}^{e v}\left(r_{v}\right)\left|\log r_{v}\right|^{l} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $q_{i}^{v}, s_{j}^{e}, \lambda_{i}^{v}, \gamma_{j}^{e}, \chi^{v}$ are as above, $B_{i j l t s}^{e v}$ are real numbers, and $\chi^{e v}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ cut-off function with $\chi^{e v}=1$ for $0 \leq \theta_{v} \leq \beta_{v}$ and $\chi^{e v}=0$ for $\frac{3}{2} \beta_{v} \leq \theta_{v} \leq \omega_{v}$ for some $\beta_{v} \in\left(0, \min \left\{\omega_{v} / 2, \pi / 8\right\}\right]$. The functions $B_{j s l}^{e v}$ may be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j s}^{e v}\left(r_{v}\right) \chi^{v}\left(r_{v}\right) \chi^{e v}\left(\theta_{v}\right)=\chi_{j s}\left(x_{e 1}, x_{e 2}\right) \chi_{2}^{e}\left(x_{e 2}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the extension of $\chi_{j s}$ by zero onto $\mathbf{R}^{2+}:=\left\{\left(x_{e 1}, x_{e 2}\right) ; x_{e 2}>0\right\}$ lies in $H^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2+}\right)$ for $m$ as large as required. Here, $\chi_{2}^{e}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ cut-off function as in (ii).

Remark 2.1 For an open surface there holds $u_{\mathrm{reg}} \in H^{k}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$ and $w_{i t}^{v}$ in (2.5) satisfies $w_{i t}^{v} \in H^{q}\left(0, \omega_{v}\right) \cap H_{0}^{1}\left(0, \omega_{v}\right)$. This will be needed in the proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 7.1.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.
Theorem 2.2 Let $u \in \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ be the solution of (1.1) with sufficiently smooth given function $f \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ such that the representation from Theorem 2.1 holds. Let $v_{0} \in V, e_{0} \in E\left(v_{0}\right)$ be such that $\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}=\min _{v \in V, e \in E(v)} \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e}\right\}$, with $\lambda_{1}^{v}$ and $\gamma_{1}^{e}$ being as in (2.4)-(2.7). Then, for any $h>0$ and every $p \geq \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-1 / 2\right\}$, the BE approximation $u_{h p}$ defined by (2.2) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}} p^{-2 \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{\left.v_{0}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta+\nu}, ~\right.} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\beta= \begin{cases}q_{1}^{v_{0}}+s_{1}^{e_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } \lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}=\gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-\frac{1}{2},  \tag{2.11}\\ q_{1}^{v_{0}}+s_{1}^{e_{0}} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

for numbers $q_{1}^{v_{0}}, s_{1}^{e_{0}}$ as given in (2.6), and

$$
\nu= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } p=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-\frac{1}{2}\right\},  \tag{2.12}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

If $1 \leq p<\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-1 / 2\right\}$, then for any $h>0$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{p+1 / 2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The positive constants $C$ in (2.10) and (2.13) are independent of $h$ and $p$.
The proof of this Theorem is given in Section 7.

## 3 Preliminaries

We introduce the Sobolev spaces and prove several technical lemmas.
For details concerning Sobolev spaces we refer to $[14,10]$. For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and an integer $s$ let $H^{s}(\Omega)$ be the closure of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}=\|u\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}^{2}+|u|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} \quad(s \geq 1)
$$

Here,

$$
|u|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left|D^{s} u(x)\right|^{2} d x, \quad \text { and } \quad H^{0}(\Omega)=L_{2}(\Omega)
$$

where $\left|D^{s} u(x)\right|^{2}=\sum_{|\alpha|=s}\left|D^{\alpha} u(x)\right|^{2}$ in the usual notation with multi-index $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ and with respect to Cartesian coordinates $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. For a positive non-integer $s$ with $s=m+\sigma$ with integer $m \geq 0$ and $0<\sigma<1$, the norm in $H^{s}(\Omega)$ is

$$
\|u\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}=\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Omega)}^{2}+|u|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

with semi-norm

$$
|u|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}=\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|D^{\alpha} u(x)-D^{\alpha} u(y)\right|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 \sigma}} d x d y
$$

The closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the above norms is denoted by $H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$. For a domain $\Omega$ with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega, \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of functions in $H^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$ whose extensions by zero are elements of $H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. A norm in this space is

$$
\|u\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+|u|_{H^{1 / 2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{2}}{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)} d x
$$

For non-integer $s$, we equivalently define the Sobolev spaces by real interpolation:

$$
H^{s}(\Omega)=\left(L_{2}(\Omega), H^{1}(\Omega)\right)_{s, 2} \quad(0<s<1)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Omega)=\left(L_{2}(\Omega), H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)\right)_{\frac{1}{2 s}, 2} \quad(1 / 2<s \leq 1)
$$

For integer $k \geq 0$ and $\mu \in[0,1]$ we also consider the spaces of continuously differentiable functions $C^{k}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $C^{k, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ with norms

$$
\|u\|_{C^{k}(\bar{\Omega})}=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup _{x \in \Omega}\left|D^{\alpha} u(x)\right|
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{C^{k, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})}=\|u\|_{C^{k}(\bar{\Omega})}+\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup _{x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{\left|D^{\alpha} u(x)-D^{\alpha} u(y)\right|}{|x-y|^{\mu}} .
$$

Now let us collect several technical lemmas. We will need the following scaling result.
Lemma 3.1 Let $K^{h}$ and $K$ be two open subsets of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $K^{h}=M(K)$ under an invertible affine mapping $M$. Let $\operatorname{diam} K^{h} \simeq \rho_{K^{h}} \simeq h$ and $\operatorname{diam} K \simeq \rho_{K} \simeq 1$. If $u \in H^{m}\left(K^{h}\right)$ with integer $m \geq 0$, then $\hat{u}=u \circ M \in H^{m}(K)$ and there exists a positive constant $C$ depending on $m$ but not on $h$ or $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\hat{u}|_{H^{m}(K)} \leq C h^{m-\frac{n}{2}}|u|_{H^{m}\left(K^{h}\right)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously for any $\hat{u} \in H^{m}(K)$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{H^{m}\left(K^{h}\right)} \leq C h^{\frac{n}{2}-m}|\hat{u}|_{H^{m}(K)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\hat{u} \in H^{s}(K)$ with real $s \in[0, m]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} h^{\frac{n}{2}}\|\hat{u}\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq\|u\|_{H^{s}\left(K^{h}\right)} \leq C_{2} h^{\frac{n}{2}-s}\|\hat{u}\|_{H^{s}(K)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of (3.1), (3.2) see [8, Theorem 3.1.2]. Inequalities (3.3) then follow by interpolation (see [2, Lemma 4.3]).

Remark 3.1 The notation introduced in Lemma 3.1 will be used frequently in this paper. If not specified otherwise, $K^{h} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is assumed to be a triangle or parallelogram (an element of the mesh $\Delta_{h}$ ) such that $\operatorname{diam} K^{h} \simeq \rho_{K^{h}} \simeq h$ (see (2.1)) and $K^{h}=M(K)$, where $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is a triangle or parallelogram with $\operatorname{diam} K \simeq \rho_{K} \simeq 1$ and $M$ is an invertible affine mapping of $K$ onto $K^{h}$. The functions $u$ and $\hat{u}$ defined on $K^{h}$ and $K$, respectively, satisfy the relations: $\hat{u}=u \circ M$ and $u=\hat{u} \circ M^{-1}$.

The following two lemmas are Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 5.5 of Chapter 2 in [15] (for the case of a triangle or parallelogram $K$ ).

Lemma 3.2 Let $m>1$ be real. Let $\mu=m-1$ if $m<2, \mu<1$ if $m=2$, and $\mu=1$ if $m>2$. Then $H^{m}(K) \subset C^{0, \mu}(\bar{K})$, and

$$
\|u\|_{C^{0, \mu}(\bar{K})} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{m}(K)} .
$$

Lemma 3.3 Let $u \in H^{s}(K)$ for real $s \geq 0$, and $v \in C^{[s]^{\prime}-1,1}(\bar{K})$, where $[s]^{\prime}$ denotes the minimal integer such that $s \leq[s]^{\prime}$. Then $u v \in H^{s}(K)$, and

$$
\|u v\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{s}(K)}\|v\|_{C^{[s]^{\prime}-1,1}(\bar{K})}
$$

The next lemma is the scaled version of Lemma 9.2 in [16].
Lemma 3.4 Let $K^{h}$ be a triangle (respectively, a parallelogram) satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, and let $l^{h}$ be a side of $K^{h}$ with vertices $v_{1}$, $v_{2}$. Let $w_{h p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(l^{h}\right)$ be such that $w_{h p}\left(v_{1}\right)=w_{h p}\left(v_{2}\right)=0$, and $\left\|w_{h p}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)} \leq f(h, p)$. Then there exists $u_{h p} \in \mathcal{P}_{2 p+1}\left(K^{h}\right)$ (respectively, $u_{h p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(K^{h}\right)$ ) such that $u_{h p}=w_{h p}$ on $l^{h}$, $u_{h p}=0$ on $\partial K^{h} \backslash l^{h}$, and for $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$
\left\|u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(K^{h}\right)} \leq C h^{1 / 2-s} p^{-1+2 s} f(h, p) .
$$

Proof. One has (see Remark 3.1) $K^{h}=M(K)$ with $K=T$ (respectively, $K=Q$ ). Let $l$ be a side of $K$ such that $l^{h}=M(l)$. Then $\hat{w}_{h p}=w_{h p} \circ M \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(l)$ and by Lemma 3.1 there holds

$$
\left\|\hat{w}_{h p}\right\|_{L_{2}(l)} \leq C h^{-1 / 2}\left\|w_{h p}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)} \leq C h^{-1 / 2} f(h, p) .
$$

Applying now Lemma 9.2 of [16] to the function $\hat{w}_{h p}$ we find a polynomial $\hat{u}_{h p} \in \mathcal{P}_{2 p+1}^{1}(K), K=$ $T$ (respectively, $\hat{u}_{h p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{2}(K), K=Q$ ) such that $\hat{u}_{h p}=\hat{w}_{h p}$ on $l, \hat{u}_{h p}=0$ on $\partial K \backslash l$, and for $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$
\left\|\hat{u}_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C h^{-1 / 2} p^{-1+2 s} f(h, p) .
$$

Setting $u_{h p}=\hat{u}_{h p} \circ M^{-1}$ and using again Lemma 3.1 it is easy to see that $u_{h p}$ satisfies all conditions of the lemma.

The next lemma is to split the norm in a fractional order Sobolev space onto sub-domains and is critical to prove global approximation results by using local approximation results on
sub-domains. Since this result is of wider interest we present it in a more general form than needed in this paper.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}(n=2,3)$ be a polygon $(n=2)$ or a polyhedron $(n=3)$, and let $\Delta=\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}$ be a regular mesh on $\Gamma$ consisting of shape regular elements (being affine mappings of a bounded number of reference elements). For each $\Gamma_{j} \in \Delta$ we denote $h_{j}=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$. In the lemma below we will consider a locally quasi-uniform mesh $\Delta$ on $\Gamma$ in the sense that there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{1}$ independent of the mesh such that for any patch $\delta=\left\{\Gamma_{i}\right\} \subset \Delta$ of neighbouring elements there holds

$$
\max _{j: \Gamma_{j} \in \delta} h_{j} \leq \sigma_{1} h_{i} \quad \text { for each } \Gamma_{i} \in \delta
$$

Lemma 3.5 Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}(n=2,3)$ be a polygon $(n=2)$ or a polyhedron $(n=3)$, and let $\Delta=\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}$ be a locally quasi-uniform mesh on $\Gamma$. Then for $0<s<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)}^{2} \geq \sum_{j}\|u\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2} \quad \forall u \in H^{s}(\Gamma), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $1 / 2<s<1$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j}\left(h_{j}^{-2 s}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}+|u|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) \quad \forall u \in H^{s}(\Gamma) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The positive constants $C$ in (3.4), (3.5) are independent of $u$ and the mesh $\Delta$.
Proof. Since $\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}=\sum_{j}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}$, it is enough to consider the semi-norm in $H^{s}(\Gamma)$. For $s \in(0,1)$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
|u|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)}^{2} & =\sum_{i, j} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y \\
& =\left(\sum_{i, j ; \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\varnothing}+\sum_{i, j: \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j} \neq \emptyset, i \neq j}+\sum_{i=j}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y \\
& =: I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

This immediately leads to (3.4), because $I_{1}, I_{2} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=\sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \in \Delta}|u|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\frac{1}{2}<s<1$. We will estimate the terms $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ in (3.6) separately. Let $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j} \in \Delta$ be such that $\bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\emptyset$. Denoting $d_{i j}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j}\right)$ we have

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y \leq \frac{2}{d_{i j}^{n+2 s}}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{j}}|u(x)|^{2} d x \int_{\Gamma_{i}} d y+\int_{\Gamma_{j}} d x \int_{\Gamma_{i}}|u(y)|^{2} d y\right)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{C}{d_{i j}^{n+2 s}}\left(h_{i}^{n}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}+h_{j}^{n}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)}^{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & \leq C \sum_{i, j: \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\emptyset} \frac{h_{i}^{n}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}+h_{j}^{n}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)}^{2}}{d_{i j}^{n+2 s}}=C \sum_{i, j: \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\emptyset} \frac{2 h_{j}^{n}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)}^{2}}{d_{i j}^{n+2 s}} \\
& =C \sum_{i}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)}^{2} \sum_{j: \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\emptyset} \frac{2 h_{j}^{n}}{d_{i j}^{n+2 s}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us fix an arbitrary $\Gamma_{i} \in \Delta$. We introduce polar coordinates with the origin at some point $x^{i} \in \Gamma_{i}$ and denote by $r_{i}=r_{i}(x)=\left|x-x^{i}\right|$ the polar radius. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ independent of $i$ and the mesh $\Delta$ such that

$$
d_{i j}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j}\right) \geq C r_{i}(x) \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{j}, \quad \forall \Gamma_{j} \in\left\{\Gamma_{j} ; \bar{\Gamma}_{j} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{i}=\varnothing\right\}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\cup\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{j} ; \bar{\Gamma}_{j} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{i}=\varnothing\right\} \subset\left\{x \in \bar{\Gamma} ; \kappa h_{i} \leq\left|x-x_{i}\right| \leq R\right\}
$$

with some constants $\kappa$ and $R$ independent of the mesh. Therefore we estimate for fixed $\Gamma_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j: \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\emptyset} \frac{h_{j}^{n}}{d_{i j}^{n+2 s}} & \leq C \sum_{j: \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\varnothing} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{d x}{d_{i j}^{n+2 s}} \leq C \sum_{j: \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}=\emptyset} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{d x}{r_{i}^{n+2 s}(x)} \\
& \leq C \int_{\kappa h_{i}}^{R} r_{i}^{-n-2 s} r_{i}^{n-1} d r_{i} \leq C h_{i}^{-2 s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain by (3.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leq C \sum_{i: \Gamma_{i} \in \Delta} h_{i}^{-2 s}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $I_{2}$ we again fix an arbitrary $\Gamma_{i} \in \Delta$ and denote by $K^{h_{i}}$ the patch of neighbouring elements touching $\Gamma_{i}$, i.e., $\bar{K}^{h_{i}}=\cup\left\{\bar{\Gamma}_{j} ; \bar{\Gamma}_{j} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{i} \neq \varnothing\right\}$. Observe that the number of elements in any patch $K^{h_{i}}$ is bounded by a constant independent of $i$ and $\Delta$. Let $K$ be an open subset in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $K^{h_{i}}=M(K)$, where $M$ is the affine mapping (scaling) satisfying $M: x_{k}=h_{i} \hat{x}_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n, x \in K^{h_{i}}, \hat{x} \in K$. Then $\bar{K}=\cup_{j} \bar{K}_{j}$, where $K_{j}=M^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ for each $\Gamma_{j} \subset K^{h_{i}}$. Moreover, due to the local quasi-uniformity of the mesh, $\operatorname{diam} K \simeq \operatorname{diam} K_{j} \simeq 1$ for each $K_{j} \subset K$. Therefore

$$
|u|_{H^{s}\left(K^{h_{i}}\right)}^{2} \simeq h_{i}^{n-2 s}|\hat{u}|_{H^{s}(K)}^{2}, \quad\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2} \simeq h_{i}^{n}\|\hat{u}\|_{L_{2}\left(K_{j}\right)}^{2}, \quad|u|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2} \simeq h_{i}^{n-2 s}|\hat{u}|_{H^{s}\left(K_{j}\right)}^{2}
$$

with $\hat{u}=u \circ M$, and applying Lemma 3.1 of [7] we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|u|_{H^{s}\left(K^{h_{i}}\right)}^{2} & \simeq h_{i}^{n-2 s}|\hat{u}|_{H^{s}(K)}^{2} \leq C h_{i}^{n-2 s} \sum_{j: K_{j} \subset K}\left(\|\hat{u}\|_{L_{2}\left(K_{j}\right)}^{2}+|\hat{u}|_{H^{s}\left(K_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C h_{i}^{n-2 s} \sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \subset K^{h_{i}}}\left(h_{i}^{-n}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}+h_{i}^{-n+2 s}|u|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \subset K^{h_{i}}}\left(h_{i}^{-2 s}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}+|u|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h_{j} \simeq h_{i}$ for every $\Gamma_{j} \subset K^{h_{i}}$ and each patch $K^{h_{i}}$ has a bounded number of elements, we estimate by (3.10)

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2} & =\sum_{i} \sum_{j: \bar{\Gamma}_{j} \cap \overline{\bar{\Gamma}}_{i} \neq \emptyset, j \neq i} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+2 s}} d x d y \leq \sum_{i}|u|_{H^{s}\left(K^{h_{i}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \in \Delta}\left(h_{j}^{-2 s}\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}+|u|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now inequality (3.5) follows from (3.7), (3.9), and (3.11) making use of decomposition (3.6).

Remark 3.2 Inequality (3.4) was given in [19, Lemma 3.2] for the case when the norm in $H^{s}$ is defined by the method of complex interpolation, and was proved in [2] in the case of real interpolation.

## 4 Auxiliary approximation results

In this section we formulate several results regarding the approximation of smooth and singular functions. For the approximation of smooth functions we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 Let $K^{h}$ and $K$ be two triangles (parallelograms) satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, and let $l$ be a side of $K$. Suppose that $u \in H^{m}\left(K^{h}\right)$. Then $\hat{u}=u \circ M \in H^{m}(K)$ and there exists a family of operators $\left\{\hat{\pi}_{p}\right\}, p=1,2, \ldots, \hat{\pi}_{p}: H^{m}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\hat{u}-\hat{\pi}_{p} \hat{u}\right\|_{H^{q}(K)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-q)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(K^{h}\right)}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq q \leq m  \tag{4.1}\\
\left|\left(\hat{u}-\hat{\pi}_{p} \hat{u}\right)(\hat{x})\right| \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-1)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(K^{h}\right)}, \quad m>1, \quad \hat{x} \in K  \tag{4.2}\\
\left\|\hat{u}-\hat{\pi}_{p} \hat{u}\right\|_{H^{s}(l)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-s-1 / 2)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(K^{h}\right)}, \quad m>3 / 2, \quad s=0,1 \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mu=\min \{m, p+1\}$, and the positive constants $C$ in (4.1)-(4.3) are independent of $u$, $p$, and $h$ but depend on $m$.

Proof. Making use of Lemma 4.4 in [4], estimates (4.1)-(4.3) follow from the corresponding results of [5, Lemma 3.1] (for details, see [4, Lemma 4.5], in particular, estimates (4.14), (4.16) therein).

Now we can prove the result on the approximation of smooth functions. It gives estimates for the error of this approximation in the norms of the spaces $\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{s}(\Gamma), s \in[0,1]$. For the space $H^{1}(\Gamma)$ this result has been proved before in [4, Theorem 4.6].

Proposition 4.1 Let $m>3 / 2$. Then for $u \in H^{m}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$ there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ such that for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}, \quad \mu=\min \{m, p+1\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the mesh $\Delta_{h}$ on $\Gamma$ does not contain triangles, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(m-\tilde{s})}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\Delta_{h}$ contains triangles; here $\mu$ is the same as in (4.4) and

$$
\tilde{s}= \begin{cases}1 / 2 & \text { if } s \in[0,1 / 2)  \tag{4.6}\\ 1 / 2+\varepsilon, \varepsilon>0 & \text { if } s=1 / 2 \\ s & \text { if } s \in(1 / 2,1]\end{cases}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\min \{m, p+1\}-1 / 2} p^{-(m-1 / 2-\varepsilon)}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=0$ if $\Delta_{h}$ does not contain triangles, and $\varepsilon>0$ if $\Delta_{h}$ contains triangles.
Proof. Let $K^{h}=\Gamma_{j} \in \Delta_{h}$ and $K=Q$ (or $K=T$ ) so that $K^{h}=M_{j}(K)$. Thus $K^{h}$ and $K$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 and, due to Lemma 4.1, there exists $\hat{v}_{j}=\hat{\pi}_{p}\left(u \circ M_{j}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ such that for $s=0,1$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\hat{u}-\hat{v}_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}  \tag{4.8}\\
\left\|\hat{u}-\hat{v}_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}(l)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-s-1 / 2)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)} \tag{4.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $l \subset \partial K$ denotes a side of $K, \mu=\min \{m, p+1\}$. Since $m>3 / 2$, we can modify $\hat{v}_{j}$ as in Theorem 4.1 of [5] to obtain $\hat{v}_{j}=\hat{u}$ at the vertices of $K$.

Let $v_{j}=\hat{v}_{j} \circ M_{j}^{-1}$. Then $v_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ and we obtain by Lemma 3.1 and (4.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-v_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}, \quad \mu=\min \{m, p+1\}, \quad s=0,1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further we consider two elements $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j} \in \Delta_{h}$ having the common edge $l^{h}=\bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}$. Let $v_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ and $v_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ be the polynomials constructed above. Then the jump $w=$
$\left.\left(v_{j}-v_{i}\right)\right|_{l^{h}} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(l^{h}\right)$ vanishes at the end points of $l^{h}$. Furthermore, using (4.9) and standard interpolation arguments, we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\hat{w}\|_{H^{s}(l)} \leq\left\|\hat{u}-\hat{v}_{i}\right\|_{H^{s}(l)}+\left\|\hat{u}-\hat{v}_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}(l)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-s-1 / 2)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}, s=0,1  \tag{4.11}\\
\|\hat{w}\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(l)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-1)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)} \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $l=M_{i}^{-1}\left(l^{h}\right), M_{i}: K \rightarrow \Gamma_{i}, \mu=\min \{m, p+1\}$.
We will adjust the function $v_{i}$ on $\Gamma_{i}$ to obtain the continuity of the approximation on the interelement edge. If $\Gamma_{i}$ is a parallelogram, we use the constructions from the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [5]. In this case $K=Q=I \times I, I=(-1,1)$ and without loss of generality we can assume that $l=\left\{\left(\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}\right) ; \hat{x}_{1} \in I, \hat{x}_{2}=-1\right\}$. Then there exists a polynomial $\hat{\psi}_{p}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(I)$ such that (see [5, pp. 759-760])

$$
\hat{\psi}_{p}(-1)=1, \quad \hat{\psi}_{p}(1)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{\psi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(I)} \leq C p^{s-1 / 2}, \quad s=0,1 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $\hat{z}:=\hat{w} \hat{\psi}_{p}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)$. Then $\hat{z} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{2}(Q), \hat{z}=\hat{w}$ on $l, \hat{z}=0$ on $\partial Q \backslash l$, and making use of (4.11), (4.13) we prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{1}(Q)} & \leq C\left(\|\hat{w}\|_{H^{1}(l)}\left\|\hat{\psi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{0}(I)}+\|\hat{w}\|_{H^{0}(l)}\left\|\hat{\psi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{1}(I)}\right) \\
& \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-1)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)} \\
\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{0}(Q)} & =\|\hat{w}\|_{H^{0}(l)}\left\|\hat{\psi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{0}(I)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-m}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Gamma_{i}$ is a triangle, then we use the result of [1, Theorem 1] giving stable, polynomial preserving trace liftings on $\Gamma_{i}$ : there exists $\hat{z} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{1}(T)$ such that $\hat{z}=\hat{w}$ on $l, \hat{z}=0$ on $\partial T \backslash l$,

$$
\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{1}(T)} \leq C\|\hat{w}\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(l)}, \quad\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{1 / 2}(T)} \leq C\|\hat{w}\|_{L_{2}(l)}
$$

Then using (4.11), (4.12), and interpolation arguments we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{s}(T)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}, \quad s \in[1 / 2,1] \\
&\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{s}(T)} \leq\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{1 / 2}(T)} \leq C h^{\mu-1} p^{-(m-1 / 2)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}, \quad s \in[0,1 / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for both cases considered above we define $z:=\hat{z} \circ M_{i}^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$. Then setting $\tilde{v}=v_{i}+z$ on $\Gamma_{i}$ and $\tilde{v}=v_{j}$ on $\Gamma_{j}$, we find a continuous piecewise polynomial on $\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j} \cup l^{h}$ such that $\|u-\tilde{v}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}$ is bounded as in (4.10). On $\Gamma_{i}$ we use Lemma 3.1 and corresponding estimates for $\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{s}(K)}$ with $K=Q$ or $T$ :

$$
\|u-\tilde{v}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \leq\left\|u-v_{i}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)}+C h^{1-s}\|\hat{z}\|_{H^{s}(Q)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}, \quad s=0,1
$$

if $\Gamma_{i}$ is a parallelogram, and

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|u-\tilde{v}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}, \quad s \in[1 / 2,1]  \tag{4.14}\\
&\|u-\tilde{v}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(m-1 / 2)}\|u\|_{H^{m}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}, \quad s \in[0,1 / 2) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\Gamma_{i}$ is a triangle.
Repeating these procedures for each pair of adjacent elements as well as for the elements $\Gamma_{i}$ having the side $l^{h} \subset \partial \Gamma$ we construct the function $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$. If the mesh $\Delta_{h}$ on $\Gamma$ consists only of parallelograms, then $u_{h p}$ satisfies (4.4) for $s=0,1$. For real $s \in(0,1)$ this result then follows by interpolation.

If the mesh $\Delta_{h}$ on $\Gamma$ contains triangular elements, then we deduce (4.5) from (4.14), (4.15). In fact, for $s \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, (4.5) immediately follows from (4.15), because $\tilde{H}^{s}(\Gamma)=H^{s}(\Gamma)=H_{0}^{s}(\Gamma)$ for these values of $s$ (see [10]). If $s \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, then we use Lemma 3.5 and estimates (4.14), (4.15):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)}^{2} & \leq C\left(h^{-2 s}\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}+\sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \subset \Gamma}\left|u-u_{h p}\right|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(h^{-2 s} h^{2 \mu} p^{-2(m-1 / 2)}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}^{2}+h^{2(\mu-s)} p^{-2(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C h^{2(\mu-s)} p^{-2(m-s)}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s=\frac{1}{2}$, estimate (4.5) then follows via interpolation between $H^{s^{\prime}}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{s^{\prime \prime}}(\Gamma)$, where $s^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}-2 \varepsilon, \quad s^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2}+2 \varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{4}$.

Since $\left(u-u_{h p}\right) \in H_{0}^{s}(\Gamma)$ for any $s \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, we prove (4.7) (for the meshes of both types) by interpolation between $H_{0}^{s^{\prime}}(\Gamma)$ and $H_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}}(\Gamma)$ with the same $s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}$ as above.

Let us recall some known results regarding the approximation of singularities by polynomials of arbitrary degree in fractional order Sobolev spaces on triangles (parallelograms) of fixed size. In the propositions below $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ will always denote a triangle or parallelogram satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 3.1. The particular location of $K$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ will be additionally specified in each proposition. We will consider three types of singular functions on $K$ which correspond to the vertex singularity (see (2.5)) and to the edge-vertex singularities of both types (see (2.6)-(2.9)):

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(x)=r^{\lambda}|\log r|^{\beta} \chi(r) w(\theta)  \tag{4.16}\\
u_{2}(x)=x_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma} x_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{1}\right|^{\beta_{1}}\left|\log x_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}} \chi(r) \tilde{\chi}(\theta)  \tag{4.17}\\
u_{3}(x)=x_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{2}\right|^{\beta} \chi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ are real parameters to be specified, $\beta, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \geq 0$ are integers, $(r, \theta)$ are polar coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{2}, \chi, \tilde{\chi}, \chi_{2}$ are $C^{\infty}$ cut-off functions satisfying

$$
\operatorname{supp} \chi \subset\left[0, \tau_{0}\right], \quad \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\chi} \subset\left[0, \beta_{0}\right], \quad \operatorname{supp} \chi_{2} \subset\left[0, \delta_{0}\right]
$$

for some $\tau_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}>0$, and the functions $w, \chi_{1}$ are sufficiently smooth.

Proposition 4.2 [16, Theorem 8.2] Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ and suppose that the origin $O$ is a vertex of $K$. Let $u_{1}$ be given by (4.16) with $\lambda>0$ and $\operatorname{supp} \chi \subset\left[0, \tau_{0}\right]$ for $0<\tau_{0}<\rho_{K}$. Then there exists a sequence $u_{1, p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K), p=1,2, \ldots$, such that for $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{1}-u_{1, p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C p^{-2(\lambda+1-s)}(1+\log p)^{\beta} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $u_{1, p}(0,0)=0, u_{1, p}=0$ on the sides $l_{i} \subset \partial K, \bar{l}_{i} \not \supset O$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{1}-u_{1, p}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l_{k}\right)} \leq C p^{-2(\lambda+1 / 2)}(1+\log p)^{\beta} \quad \text { for each side } l_{k} \subset \partial K, O \in \bar{l}_{k} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.3 Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2+}$. Suppose that the origin $O$ is a vertex of $K$ and one of the other vertices of $K$ lies on the right semi-axis $O x_{1}$. Let $u_{2}$ be given by (4.17) with $\lambda>-1 / 2$, $\gamma>0$, and assume that $\operatorname{supp} u_{2} \subset \bar{S}_{0}=\left\{(r, \theta) ; 0 \leq r \leq \tau_{0}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \beta_{0}<\frac{\pi}{4}\right\} \subset \bar{K}$. Then there exists a sequence $u_{2, p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K), p=1,2, \ldots$, such that $u_{2, p}=0$ on $\partial K$ and for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{2}-u_{2, p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(1+\log p)^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\sigma} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}$ if $\lambda=\gamma-\frac{1}{2}$, and $\sigma=0$ otherwise.
This statement was first proved in [16, Theorem 7.2] under the assumptions that $\lambda>0$, $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$. Later, in [7, Theorem 3.5], we generalised that result to $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ with $\frac{1}{2}<\min \{\lambda+$ $\left.1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\} \leq 1$.

Proposition 4.4 Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2+}$ and suppose that at least one vertex of $K$ lies on the axis $O x_{1}$. Let $l_{k} \subset \partial K(k=\overline{1,3}$ or $k=\overline{1,4})$ denote the sides of $K, \tau=\left\{l_{k} \subset \partial K ; \bar{l}_{k} \cap O x_{1}=\varnothing\right\}$, and $\mathcal{A}=\left\{l_{k} \subset \partial K ; \bar{l}_{k} \cap O x_{1}\right.$ contains only a single point $\}$. Let $u_{3}$ be given by (4.18) with $\gamma>0, \chi_{1} \in H^{m}(K), m>2 \gamma+2$, and assume that $\left(\operatorname{supp} u_{3}\right) \cap \bar{l}_{k}=\emptyset$ for each $l_{k} \in \tau$. Then there exists a sequence $u_{3, p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K), p=0,1,2, \ldots$, such that for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{3}-u_{3, p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C(p+1)^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p+1))^{\beta} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $u_{3, p}$ vanishes at the vertices of $K, u_{3, p}=0$ on $\left(\partial K \cap O x_{1}\right) \cup \tau$, and for every side $l_{k} \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{3}-u_{3, p}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l_{k}\right)} \leq C(p+1)^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p+1))^{\beta} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $p=0$, then we set $u_{3, p}=0$ on $K$, and (4.22), (4.23) are valid. Let $p \geq 1$. Then for $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$ the assertion is proved in [16, Theorem 6.2]. For $0<\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ see Theorem 3.2 and estimates (3.20), (3.21) in [7].

Now we will study the approximation of a certain singular function with small support. For this function we prove an approximation result which plays an essential role in our further analysis.

Let $e \in E$ be an edge of $\Gamma$ with vertices $v, w$. Recalling that $A_{e}$ denotes the union of elements at the edge $e$, we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{e 1}, x_{e 2}\right)=x_{e 2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{e 2}\right|^{\beta} \chi_{1}\left(x_{e 1}, x_{e 2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(x_{e 2} / h_{0}\right), \quad\left(x_{e 1}, x_{e 2}\right) \in A_{e}, \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma>0, \beta \geq 0$ is integer, $h_{0}=\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right)^{-1} h$ with $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ being the same as in (2.1), $\chi_{2}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ cut-off function with support in $[0, \delta]$ for some $0<\delta<1$, $\chi_{1} \in H^{m}\left(A_{e}\right)$ with integer $m>2 \gamma+2$, and $\chi_{1}$ vanishes on the edges $l_{v}, l_{w} \subset \partial A_{e}$ with $\bar{l}_{v} \cap \bar{e}=\{v\}$ and $\bar{l}_{w} \cap \bar{e}=\{w\}$.

Observe that $u \in H^{s}\left(A_{e}\right)$ for any $s \in[0,1 / 2+\gamma)$. Due to (2.1), $h_{0} \leq \rho_{j}$ for any $\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}$, and hence $\operatorname{supp} u \subset \bar{A}_{e}$.

Lemma 4.2 Let $u$ be given by (4.24). Then for every $p=1,2, \ldots$ there exists a continuous function $u_{h p}$ defined on $A_{e}$ such that $u_{h p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ for each $\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}$, $u_{h p}=0$ on $\partial A_{e}$, and for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(A_{e}\right)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{t-1}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(A_{e}\right)} . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, and when not leading to ambiguity, we will omit $e$ in the subscripts of the coordinates $x_{e 1}, x_{e 2}$. Let $K^{h}=\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}$, and let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2+}$ be a triangle or parallelogram such that $K^{h}=M(K)$, where $M$ is the affine mapping

$$
M: x_{i}=h \hat{x}_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \quad x \in K^{h}, \quad \hat{x} \in K
$$

Then at least one vertex of $K$ lies on the axis $O \hat{x}_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}(\hat{x}) & =u\left(h \hat{x}_{1}, h \hat{x}_{2}\right)=h^{\gamma} \hat{x}_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log \left(h \hat{x}_{2}\right)\right|^{\beta} \chi_{1}\left(h \hat{x}_{1}, h \hat{x}_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{x}_{2}\right), \\
& =h^{\gamma} \hat{x}_{2}^{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta}\binom{\beta}{k}|\log h|^{k}\left|\log \hat{x}_{2}\right|^{\beta-k} \hat{\chi}_{1}\left(\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{x}_{2}\right)=\hat{\varphi}(\hat{x}) \hat{\chi}_{1}(\hat{x}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\hat{\varphi}(\hat{x})=h^{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta}\binom{\beta}{k}|\log h|^{k} \hat{\varphi}_{\beta-k}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right) \text { with } \hat{\varphi}_{i}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)=\hat{x}_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log \hat{x}_{2}\right|^{i} \chi_{2}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{x}_{2}\right), i=0, \ldots, \beta .
$$

Using Proposition 4.4 for each function $\hat{\varphi}_{i}, i=0, \ldots, \beta$, we find polynomials $\hat{\varphi}_{i, p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ such that $\hat{\varphi}_{i, p}=0$ at the vertices of $K$ and on $\left(\partial K \cap O \hat{x}_{1}\right) \cup \tau$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{i}-\hat{\varphi}_{i, p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} & \leq C p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log p)^{i}, \quad 0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}, \\
\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{i}-\hat{\varphi}_{i, p}\right\|_{L_{2}(l)} & \leq C p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log p)^{i} \quad \text { for every } l \in \mathcal{A} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, setting

$$
\hat{\varphi}_{p}(\hat{x}):=h^{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta}\binom{\beta}{k}|\log h|^{k} \hat{\varphi}_{\beta-k, p}(\hat{x})
$$

we obtain the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} & \leq h^{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta}\binom{\beta}{k}|\log h|^{k}\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{\beta-k}-\hat{\varphi}_{\beta-k, p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \\
& \leq h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta}\binom{\beta}{k} C(k) \log ^{k}(1 / h)(1+\log p)^{\beta-k} \\
& \leq C(\beta) h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}, \quad 0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\},  \tag{4.26}\\
\left\|\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{L_{2}(l)} & \leq C(\beta) h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \quad \text { for every } l \in \mathcal{A} ; \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

moreover, $\hat{\varphi}_{p}=0$ at the vertices of $K$ and on $\left(\partial K \cap O \hat{x}_{1}\right) \cup \tau$.
Since $\hat{\varphi} \in H^{s}(K)$ and $\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C h^{\gamma} \log ^{\beta}(1 / h)$, we estimate by (4.26)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} & \leq\left\|\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)}+\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{H^{s}(K)} \\
& \leq C h^{\gamma}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}, \quad 0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}, \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly by (4.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{L_{2}(l)} \leq C h^{\gamma}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \quad \text { for every } l \in \mathcal{A} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us approximate the smooth function $\hat{\chi}_{1} \in H^{m}(K)$. Using [4, Lemma 4.1] we find a polynomial $\hat{\chi}_{1, p}=\hat{\pi}_{p} \hat{\chi}_{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}-\hat{\chi}_{1, p}\right\|_{H^{q}(K)} & \leq C p^{-(m-q)}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)}, \quad 0 \leq q \leq m,  \tag{4.30}\\
\left|\left(\hat{\chi}_{1}-\hat{\chi}_{1, p}\right)(\hat{x})\right| & \leq C p^{-(m-1)}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)}, \quad m>1, \hat{x} \in K . \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

We define $\hat{\psi}(\hat{x}):=\hat{\varphi}_{p}(\hat{x}) \hat{\chi}_{1, p}(\hat{x})$. Then $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{P}_{2 p}(K), \hat{\psi}=0$ at the vertices of $K$ and on $\left(\partial K \cap O \hat{x}_{1}\right) \cup \tau$, and for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\hat{u}-\hat{\psi}\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\left(\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}(K)}+\left\|\left(\hat{\chi}_{1}-\hat{\chi}_{1, p}\right) \hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, let us consider the case when $1 / 2<s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$. Applying Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 we have for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\left(\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{C^{0,1}(\bar{K})}\left\|\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{2+\varepsilon}(K)}\left\|\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} .
$$

Hence, taking $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $(2+\varepsilon<m)$ and using estimate (4.26) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\left(\hat{\varphi}-\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)} . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (4.32) we again use Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, and then estimates (4.28), (4.30):

$$
\left\|\left(\hat{\chi}_{1}-\hat{\chi}_{1, p}\right) \hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \leq C\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}-\hat{\chi}_{1, p}\right\|_{H^{2+\varepsilon}(K)}\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{p}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq C h^{\gamma} p^{-(m-2-\varepsilon)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)}, 2+\varepsilon<m \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we deduce from (4.32)-(4.34) for $s \in(1 / 2, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\hat{u}-\hat{\psi}\|_{H^{s}(K)} & \leq C h^{\gamma} \max \left\{p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}, p^{-(m-2-\varepsilon)}\right\}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)} \\
& \leq C h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)} . \tag{4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have chosen $\varepsilon$ small enough such that $1+\varepsilon<2 s$, since then one can estimate $p^{-m+2+\varepsilon} \leq$ $p^{-2 \gamma-1+2 s}$ for $m>2 \gamma+2$.

To treat the case $s=0$ we use similar arguments relying on the inequality

$$
\|u v\|_{H^{0}(K)} \leq C\|u\|_{C^{0}(\bar{K})}\|v\|_{H^{0}(K)},
$$

the embedding $H^{1+\varepsilon}(K) \subset C^{0}(\bar{K})(\varepsilon>0)$, and estimates (4.26), (4.28), (4.31), (4.32):

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\hat{u}-\hat{\psi}\|_{H^{0}(K)} \leq & C h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}(K)} \\
& +C h^{\gamma} p^{-(m-1)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)} \\
\leq & C h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)} \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, using (4.27), (4.29), (4.31) we obtain for every side $l \in \mathcal{A}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\hat{u}-\hat{\psi}\|_{L_{2}(l)} \leq C h^{\gamma} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\chi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(K)} . \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that adjusting the constants $C$ in (4.35)-(4.37) we can obtain these estimates for a polynomial $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ for every $p=1,2, \ldots$. Therefore, recalling the notation $K^{h}=\Gamma_{j}$ and setting $\psi_{j}:=\hat{\psi} \circ M^{-1}$ we find a polynomial $\psi_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right), p=1,2, \ldots$, such that $\psi_{j}=0$ at the vertices of $\Gamma_{j}$, on $\left(\partial \Gamma_{j} \cap \bar{e}\right)$, and on $\tau^{j}=M(\tau)=\left\{l_{k} \subset \partial \Gamma_{j} ; \bar{l}_{k} \cap \bar{e}=\varnothing\right\}$. Moreover, making use of Lemma 3.1 we deduce from (4.35)-(4.37)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-\psi_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{t-1}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in\{0\} \cup(1 / 2, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-\psi_{j}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1 / 2} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{t-1}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $l^{h} \in \mathcal{A}^{j}=M(\mathcal{A})$.
Suppose that $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}$ are two elements having the common edge $l^{h}=\bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}$. Let $\psi_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ and $\psi_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ be the approximations to $u$ constructed above and satisfying
estimates (4.38), (4.39). Then the jump $w=\left.\left(\psi_{j}-\psi_{i}\right)\right|_{l^{h}}$ vanishes at the end points of $l^{h}$ and, because of (4.39),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|w\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)} & \leq\left\|u-\psi_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)}+\left\|u-\psi_{j}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)} \\
& \leq C h^{\gamma+1 / 2} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{t-1}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case that $\Gamma_{i}$ is a parallelogram, we use Lemma 3.4 to find a polynomial $z \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=w \text { on } l^{h}, \quad z=0 \text { on } \partial \Gamma_{i} \backslash l^{h} \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{t-1}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case that $\Gamma_{i}$ is a triangle, we note that (4.38) and (4.39) also hold for a polynomial $\psi_{j}$ of degree $\left[\frac{p-1}{2}\right]$ (with different constants $C$ for the upper bounds in (4.38) and (4.39)). Then Lemma 3.4 yields a polynomial $z \in P_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ which satisfies (4.40), (4.41) for $\Gamma_{i}$ being a triangle.

Further we set

$$
\tilde{\psi}=\psi_{i}+z \text { on } \Gamma_{i}, \quad \tilde{\psi}=\psi_{j} \text { on } \Gamma_{j}
$$

Then $\tilde{\psi}$ is continuous on $\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j} \cup l^{h}$, the norms $\|u-\tilde{\psi}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)},\|u-\tilde{\psi}\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)}$ are bounded as in (4.38), (4.39), and on the element $\Gamma_{i}$ there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u-\tilde{\psi}\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} & \leq\left\|u-\psi_{i}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)}+\|z\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \\
& \leq C h^{\gamma+1-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{t-1}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same arguments as above we can adjust also the polynomial $\psi_{i}$ on each element $\Gamma_{i} \subset A_{e} \cap\left(A_{v} \cup A_{w}\right)$. We construct the function $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfying estimates (4.38), (4.39) and vanishing on the side $l^{h} \subset \partial \Gamma_{i}$ such that $l^{h} \cap \underset{\sim}{e}=\{v\}$ or $l^{h} \cap \bar{e}=\{w\}$ (i.e., $l^{h}$ is $l_{v}$ or $l_{w}$ ). In this case the jump is $w=\left.\left(-\psi_{i}\right)\right|_{l^{h}}$ and we set $\tilde{\psi}=\psi_{i}+z$ on $\Gamma_{i}$, where $z \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ is constructed using Lemma 3.4. Obviously $\tilde{\psi}=0$ on $l^{h}$, and estimates (4.38), (4.39) remain valid because $\left.u\right|_{l^{h}}=0$.

Repeating this procedure, we obtain a continuous function $u_{h p}$ defined on $A_{e}$ such that $u_{h p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ for $\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}, u_{h p}=0$ on $\partial A_{e}$, and for $s \in\{0\} \cup(1 / 2, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}}\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2} \leq C h^{2(\gamma+1-s)} p^{-4(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{2 \beta} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{2(t-1)}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2} . \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s=0$ this immediately leads to (4.25). If $1 / 2<s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$, then we also obtain (4.25) from (4.42) by using Lemma 3.5. Estimate (4.25) for any $s \in(0,1 / 2]$ then follows by interpolation between $H^{0}\left(A_{e}\right)$ and $H^{s^{\prime}}\left(A_{e}\right)$ with $1 / 2<s^{\prime}<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$.

## 5 Approximation of edge-vertex singularities

Let $e \in E$ be the edge of $\Gamma$ with vertices $v, w$. As before, we denote by $l_{v}$ and $l_{w}$ the edges of $\partial A_{e}$ such that $\bar{l}_{v} \cap \bar{e}=\{v\}$ and $\bar{l}_{w} \cap \bar{e}=\{w\}$.

Let us consider the cut-off functions $\chi^{v}$ and $\chi^{e v}$ which appear in the expressions for the edge-vertex singularities $u_{1}^{e v}$ and $u_{2}^{e v}$ (see (2.6), (2.7)). We adjust the supports of these cut-off functions as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{supp} \chi^{v} \subset\left[0,2 \tau_{v}\right] \text { with } 0<\tau_{v}<\min \left\{\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{dist}\{v, w\}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{supp} \chi^{e v} \subset\left[0, \frac{3}{2} \beta_{v}\right] \text { with } 0<\beta_{v} \leq \min \left\{\frac{1}{2} \theta_{0}, \frac{1}{2} \omega_{v}, \frac{\pi}{8}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{0}$ is the minimal angle of the elements in the mesh $\Delta_{h}$. Then $u_{1}^{e v}$ and $u_{2}^{e v}$ vanish outside the sector $S=\left\{\left(r_{v}, \theta_{v}\right) ; 0<r_{v}<2 \tau_{v}, 0<\theta_{v}<\frac{3}{2} \beta_{v}\right\}$, in particular, $u_{1}^{e v}=u_{2}^{e v}=0$ on $l_{v} \cup l_{w}$.

In the two sub-sections below we will study the approximation of the singular functions $u_{1}^{e v}$ and $u_{2}^{e v}$.

### 5.1 Approximation of the function $u_{1}^{e v}$

Theorem 5.1 Let $u=u_{1}^{e v}$ be given by (2.6). Then there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ with $p \geq$ $\min \left\{\lambda, \gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ such that for $s \in[0, \min \{1, \lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s} p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta+\nu} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\lambda_{1}^{v}>-1 / 2, \gamma=\gamma_{1}^{e}>0$,

$$
\beta= \begin{cases}q_{1}^{v}+s_{1}^{e}+\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } \lambda_{1}^{v}=\gamma_{1}^{e}-\frac{1}{2} \\ q_{1}^{v}+s_{1}^{e} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\nu= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } p=\min \left\{\lambda, \gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $1 \leq p<\min \left\{\lambda, \gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, then there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{p+1-s} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity we consider the singular function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma} x_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{1}\right|^{\beta_{1}}\left|\log x_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}} \chi^{v}(r) \chi^{e v}(\theta) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\lambda_{1}^{v}>-1 / 2, \gamma=\gamma_{1}^{e}>0$, and $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \geq 0$ are integers.
Let us introduce an auxiliary cut-off function $\chi_{2} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}\right)$such that for some $\delta \in(0,1)$

$$
\chi_{2}(t)=1 \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{2}(t)=0 \text { for } t \geq \delta
$$

Denoting $h_{0}=\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right)^{-1} h$ we decompose the function $u$ in (5.3) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =u \chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)+u\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right) \chi_{2}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right)+u\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right)\left(1-\chi_{2}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =: \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We will approximate the functions $\varphi_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ in (5.4) separately.
Approximation of $\varphi_{1}$. Due to the adjustment of the supports of the cut-off functions $\chi^{v}$ and $\chi^{e v}$, the singular function $\varphi_{1}$ has small support, $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{1} \subset \bar{K}^{h}$, where $K^{h}=\Gamma_{1} \subset A_{e v}$ is the element touching simultaneously the edge $e$ and the vertex $v$. Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2+}$ be a triangle or parallelogram such that $K^{h}=M(K)$, where $M$ is the affine mapping

$$
M: x_{i}=h \hat{x}_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \quad x \in K^{h}, \quad \hat{x} \in K .
$$

Then $K$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.3, and for $h<\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\varphi}_{1}(\hat{x}) & =\varphi_{1}\left(h \hat{x}_{1}, h \hat{x}_{2}\right) \\
& =h^{\lambda} \hat{x}_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma} \hat{x}_{2}^{\gamma} \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{\beta_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{\beta_{2}}\binom{\beta_{1}}{k_{1}}\binom{\beta_{2}}{k_{2}}|\log h|^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left|\log \hat{x}_{1}\right|^{\beta_{1}-k_{1}}\left|\log \hat{x}_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}-k_{2}} \chi^{v}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{r}\right) \chi^{e v}(\hat{\theta}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{r}=\left(\hat{x}_{1}^{2}+\hat{x}_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \hat{\theta}=\arctan \left(\hat{x}_{2} / \hat{x}_{1}\right)$.
By Proposition 4.3, for each pair ( $k_{1}, k_{2}$ ) with $k_{i}=0, \ldots, \beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ there exists a polynomial $\hat{\psi}_{k_{1}, k_{2}} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ vanishing on $\partial K$ and satisfying for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\hat{x}_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma} \hat{x}_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log \hat{x}_{1}\right|^{k_{1}}\left|\log \hat{x}_{2}\right|^{k_{2}} \chi^{v}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{r}\right) \chi^{e v}(\hat{\theta})-\hat{\psi}_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \\
& \leq C p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(1+\log p)^{k_{1}+k_{2}+\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
\hat{\psi}_{1}(\hat{x}):=h^{\lambda} \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{\beta_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{\beta_{2}}\binom{\beta_{1}}{k_{1}}\binom{\beta_{2}}{k_{2}}|\log h|^{k_{1}+k_{2}} \hat{\psi}_{\beta_{1}-k_{1}, \beta_{2}-k_{2}}(\hat{x}),
$$

we estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\hat{\varphi}_{1}-\hat{\psi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} \\
& \leq h^{\lambda} \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}=0}^{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}}\binom{\beta_{1}}{k_{1}}\binom{\beta_{2}}{k_{2}}|\log h|^{k_{1}+k_{2}} C\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(1+\log p)^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}-k_{1}-k_{2}+\sigma} \\
& \leq C\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) h^{\lambda} p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}(1+\log p)^{\sigma} . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\psi_{1}:=\hat{\psi}_{1} \circ M^{-1}$ on $K^{h}=\Gamma_{1}$. Then $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right), \psi_{1}=0$ on $\partial \Gamma_{1}$, and making use of Lemma 3.1 we deduce from (5.5)

$$
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)} \leq C h^{1-s}\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{1}-\hat{\psi}_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq C h^{\lambda+1-s} p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}(1+\log p)^{\sigma}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}, \sigma=1 / 2$ if $\lambda=\gamma-1 / 2$, and $\sigma=0$ otherwise.
Approximation of $\varphi_{2}$. The function $\varphi_{2}$ in (5.4) has a singular behaviour only with respect to $x_{2}$ and has small support, $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{2} \subset\left(\bar{A}_{e} \cap \bar{R}_{1}^{h}\right)$, where $R_{1}^{h}=\left\{(r, \theta) ; \tau_{v} h_{0}<r<2 \tau_{v}, 0<\theta<\frac{3}{2} \beta_{v}\right\}$. Thus we can write $\varphi_{2}$ in the form given by (4.24):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =x_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma} x_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{1}\right|^{\beta_{1}}\left|\log x_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}} \chi^{v}(r) \chi^{e v}(\theta)\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right) \chi_{2}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right) \\
& =x_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}} \chi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=x_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma}\left|\log x_{1}\right|^{\beta_{1}} \chi^{v}(r) \chi^{e v}(\theta)\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\chi_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(A_{e}\right)$, supp $\chi_{1} \subset \bar{R}_{1}^{h}$, in particular, $\chi_{1}=0$ on the edges $l_{v}, l_{w} \subset \partial A_{e}$.
Now we can apply Lemma 4.2 to find a piecewise polynomial approximation of $\varphi_{2}$ on $A_{e}$ : there exists a function $\psi_{2}$ such that $\psi_{2} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ for each $\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}, \psi_{2}=0$ on $\partial A_{e}$, and for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(A_{e}\right)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta_{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{m} h^{t-1}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(A_{e}\right)} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $m>2 \gamma+2$.
To evaluate semi-norms of the function $\chi_{1}$ given by (5.7) we use the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_{1}}\right|=|\cos \theta| \leq 1, & \left|\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_{2}}\right|=|\sin \theta| \leq 1, \\
\left|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{1}}\right|=\left|\frac{\sin \theta}{r}\right| \leq \frac{1}{r}, & \left|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{\cos \theta}{r}\right| \leq \frac{1}{r} .
\end{array}
$$

Hence it follows by induction that for any integer $k, l \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l} r}{\partial x_{1}^{k} \partial x_{2}^{l}}\right| \leq C r^{1-k-l}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l} \theta}{\partial x_{1}^{k} \partial x_{2}^{l}}\right| \leq C r^{-k-l} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for any integer $k \geq 1$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial r^{k}}\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right)\right| & = \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } 0<r<\tau_{v} h_{0} \text { and } r>2 \tau_{v} h_{0}, \\
\left|\left(\chi^{v}\right)^{(k)}\right| h_{0}^{-k} & \text { for } \tau_{v} h_{0} \leq r \leq 2 \tau_{v} h_{0}\end{cases} \\
& \leq C r^{-k} \quad \text { for } r>0 . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since supp $\chi_{1} \subset \bar{R}_{1}^{h}, x_{1} \simeq r$ on $R_{1}^{h}$, and $\chi^{v}, \chi^{e v} \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{+}\right)$, we estimate by (5.7), (5.9), (5.10) for $t=0, \ldots, m$

$$
\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2} \leq C(\log (1 / h))^{2 \beta_{1}} \int_{A_{e} \cap R_{1}^{h}} x_{1}^{2(\lambda-\gamma-t)} d x \leq C(\log (1 / h))^{2 \beta_{1}} \int_{0}^{h} \int_{\kappa h}^{2 \tau_{v}} x_{1}^{2(\lambda-\gamma-t)} d x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

for a positive constant $\kappa$ independent of $h$. Hence

$$
\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(A_{e}\right)} \leq C \log ^{\beta_{1}}(1 / h) h^{1 / 2-t} \begin{cases}h^{\lambda-\gamma+1 / 2} & \text { if } \lambda<\gamma-1 / 2, \\ \log ^{1 / 2}(1 / h) & \text { if } \lambda=\gamma-1 / 2, \\ 1 & \text { if } \lambda>\gamma-1 / 2,\end{cases}
$$

and we obtain by (5.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(A_{e}\right)} \leq C h^{\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(\log (1 / h))^{\beta_{1}+\sigma}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta_{2}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$ and $\sigma$ is the same as in (5.6).
Approximation of $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ on $\Gamma$. Let us extend $\psi_{i}(i=1,2)$ by zero onto the remaining parts of $\Gamma$. Then $\psi_{i} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma), i=1,2$ and there hold the following estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\lambda+1-s} p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}(1+\log p)^{\sigma} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(\log (1 / h))^{\beta_{1}+\sigma}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta_{2}} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$.
In fact, for $s=0$ estimates (5.12) and (5.13) immediately follow from inequalities (5.6) and (5.11), respectively. If $1 / 2<s<1$, then we use Lemma 3.5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)}^{2} & \leq C\left(h^{-2 s}\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}+\sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \subset \Gamma}\left|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(h^{-2 s}\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2}+\sum_{j: \Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}}\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(h^{-2 s}\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and (5.13) follows from (5.11). The estimate (5.12) for $1 / 2<s<1$ is proved analogously. Finally, for $0<s \leq 1 / 2$, estimates (5.12), (5.13) follow via interpolation between $H^{0}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{s^{\prime}}(\Gamma)$ for some $s^{\prime} \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
Approximation of $\varphi_{3}$. Now we approximate the function $\varphi_{3}$ in (5.4). Observe that $\varphi_{3} \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{3} \subset \bar{\Gamma} \cap \bar{R}_{1}^{h} \cap \bar{R}_{2}^{h}$, where $R_{1}^{h}$ is defined above and $R_{2}^{h}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; x_{2}>\delta h_{0} / 2\right\}$
for some $\delta \in(0,1)$. We also note that the mesh contains triangles and/or parallelograms. Therefore, applying Proposition 4.1, we find $\psi_{3} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ such that for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{3}-\psi_{3}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(m-\tilde{s})}\left\|\varphi_{3}\right\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m>3 / 2, \mu=\min \{m, p+1\}$, and $\tilde{s}$ is defined by (4.6).
Let us estimate the norm $\left\|\varphi_{3}\right\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}$. Similarly to (5.9), (5.10) one has for $k, l \geq 0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l} r}{\partial x_{1}^{k} \partial x_{2}^{l}}\right| \leq C r x_{1}^{-k} x_{2}^{-l}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l} \theta}{\partial x_{1}^{k} \partial x_{2}^{l}}\right| \leq C x_{1}^{-k} x_{2}^{-l}, \\
\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l}}{\partial x_{1}^{k} \partial x_{2}^{l}}\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leq C x_{1}^{-k} x_{2}^{-l}, \quad\left|\frac{d^{l}}{d x_{2}^{l}}\left(1-\chi_{2}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leq C x_{2}^{-l} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, recalling that

$$
\varphi_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma} x_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{1}\right|^{\beta_{1}}\left|\log x_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}} \chi^{v}(r) \chi^{e v}(\theta)\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right)\left(1-\chi_{2}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right)\right),
$$

$\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{3} \subset \bar{R}_{1}^{h} \cap \bar{R}_{2}^{h}$, and $\chi_{2}, \chi^{v}, \chi^{e v} \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{+}\right)$, we can estimate derivatives of $\varphi_{3}$ as

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{k+l} \varphi_{3}(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{k} \partial x_{2}^{l}}\right| \leq \begin{cases}C(k, l)(\log (1 / h))^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}} x_{1}^{\lambda-\gamma-k} x_{2}^{\gamma-l} & \text { for } x \in R_{1}^{h} \cap R_{2}^{h} \\ 0 & \text { for } x \in \Gamma \backslash\left(R_{1}^{h} \cap R_{2}^{h}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left(R_{1}^{h} \cap R_{2}^{h}\right) \subset T^{h}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; \kappa h<x_{1}<1, \kappa h<x_{2}<x_{1}\right\}$ for some $\kappa>0$, the above estimates for derivatives of $\varphi_{3}$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{3}\right\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}^{2} & \leq C(\log (1 / h))^{2\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k+l \leq m \\
k, l \geq 0}} C^{2}(k, l) \int_{R_{1}^{h} \cap R_{2}^{h}} x_{1}^{2(\lambda-\gamma-k)} x_{2}^{2(\gamma-l)} d x \\
& \leq C(m)(\log (1 / h))^{2\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)} \int_{T^{h}} x_{1}^{2(\lambda-\gamma)} x_{2}^{2(\gamma-m)} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any integer $m \geq \min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ this implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{3}\right\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}^{2} & \leq C(\log (1 / h))^{2\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)} \begin{cases}\int_{\kappa h}^{1} x_{1}^{2(\lambda-\gamma)} \int_{\kappa h}^{x_{1}} x_{2}^{2(\gamma-m)} d x_{2} d x_{1} & \text { if } \lambda \geq \gamma-1 / 2 \\
\int_{\kappa h}^{1} x_{2}^{2(\gamma-m)} \int_{x_{2}}^{1} x_{1}^{2(\lambda-\gamma)} d x_{1} d x_{2} \quad \text { if } \lambda<\gamma-1 / 2\end{cases} \\
& \leq C h^{2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-m)}(\log (1 / h))^{2\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\sigma+\nu\right)}, \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the same as in (5.6), $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ if $m=\min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, and $\nu=0$ if $m>\min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Therefore we obtain by (5.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{3}-\psi_{3}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s+\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-m} p^{-(m-\tilde{s})}(\log (1 / h))^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\sigma+\nu}, \quad s \in[0,1], \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \geq \min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}, m>\frac{3}{2}, \mu=\min \{m, p+1\}$, and $\tilde{s}$ is defined by (4.6).
If $p>2 \min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}-\frac{1}{2}$, we select an integer $m$ satisfying

$$
2 \min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}+\frac{1}{2}<m \leq p+1 .
$$

Then $\mu=m>\frac{3}{2}$ and $p^{-(m-\tilde{s})} \leq p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}$ for any $s \in[0,1]$.
If $\min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}-1<p \leq 2 \min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}-\frac{1}{2}$ (i.e., $p$ is bounded), we choose an integer $m$ such that

$$
\max \left\{\frac{3}{2}, \min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right\}<m \leq p+1,
$$

and if $p=\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-1$, then we take $m=\min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}=p+1$. In both these cases $\mu=m>\frac{3}{2}$ and $p^{-(m-\tilde{s})} \leq C(\lambda, \gamma) p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}$ for any $s \in[0,1]$.

Thus, for any $p \geq \min \left\{\lambda, \gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, selecting $m$ as indicated above we find by (5.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{3}-\psi_{3}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s} p^{-2(\min \{\lambda+1, \gamma+1 / 2\}-s)}(\log (1 / h))^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\sigma+\nu}, \quad s \in[0,1] . \tag{5.1.}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the same as in (5.6), $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ if $p=\min \left\{\lambda, \gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, and $\nu=0$ otherwise.
Approximation of $u=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3}$. Let us define $u_{h p}:=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}+\psi_{3} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$. Then combining estimates (5.12), (5.13), and (5.17) we obtain (5.1).

It remains to consider the case $1 \leq p<\min \left\{\lambda, \gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. In this case one does not need decomposition (5.4). Since $u \in H^{m}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$ with $\frac{3}{2}<m<\min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, we apply Proposition 4.1 to find $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}, \quad \mu=\min \{m, p+1\} .
$$

Hence, selecting $m \in\left[p+1, \min \left\{\lambda+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)$ we prove (5.2).

### 5.2 Approximation of the function $u_{2}^{e v}$

In this sub-section we study the approximation of the edge-vertex singularity $u_{2}^{e v}$ given by (2.7), (2.9).

Theorem 5.2 Let $u=u_{2}^{e v}$ be given by (2.7), (2.9). Then there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ with $p \geq \gamma-\frac{1}{2}$ such that for $s \in[0, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1 / 2-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta+\nu} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\gamma_{1}^{e}>0, \beta=s_{1}^{e} \geq 0$ is integer, $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ if $p=\gamma-\frac{1}{2}$, and $\nu=0$ otherwise.
If $1 \leq p<\gamma-\frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{p+1-s} . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity we consider one component of the function $u_{2}^{e v}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{2}^{\gamma}\left|\log x_{2}\right|^{\beta} \chi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \chi_{2}^{e}\left(x_{2}\right), \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\gamma_{1}^{e}>0, \beta \geq 0$ is integer, $\chi_{2}^{e} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}\right)$is the same as in (2.4), $\chi_{1} \in H^{m}(\Gamma)$ with $m$ as large as required. Recalling that the supports of the cut-off functions $\chi^{v}$ and $\chi^{e v}$ (see (2.9)) were adjusted so that $\operatorname{supp} u_{2}^{e v} \subset \bar{S}=\left\{(r, \theta) ; 0 \leq r \leq 2 \tau_{v}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{3}{2} \beta_{v}\right\}$ with $\tau_{v}<\frac{1}{4}$ dist $\{v, w\}$ and $\beta_{v} \leq \frac{1}{2} \theta_{0}$, we can assume that the function $\chi_{1}$ in (5.20) vanishes on the edges $l_{v}, l_{w} \subset \partial A_{e}$ ( $l_{v}$ and $l_{w}$ have been defined at the beginning of this section). Suppose that $h<\frac{1}{2}$. Letting $h_{0}=\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right)^{-1} h$ we decompose $u$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u \chi_{2}^{e}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right)+u\left(1-\chi_{2}^{e}\left(x_{2} / h_{0}\right)\right)=: \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2} . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The singular part $\varphi_{1}$ of this decomposition has the form given by (4.24), and $\varphi_{1}=0$ on $\partial A_{e}$. Therefore, applying Lemma 4.2 we find a function $\psi_{1}$ such that $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ for $\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{e}, \psi_{1}=0$ on $\partial A_{e}$, and for $0 \leq s<\min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2} \leq C h^{2(\gamma+1-s)} p^{-4(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{2 \beta} \sum_{t=0}^{k} h^{2(t-1)}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $k>2 \gamma+2$.
Since meas $\left(A_{e}\right) \simeq h$ and $\chi_{1} \in H^{m}(\Gamma)$ for sufficiently large $m$, we estimate

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{k} h^{2(t-1)}\left|\chi_{1}\right|_{H^{t}\left(A_{e}\right)}^{2} \leq C h^{-2}\left\|\chi_{1}\right\|_{C^{k}\left(\bar{A}_{e}\right)}^{2} \operatorname{meas}\left(A_{e}\right) \leq C h^{-1}\left\|\chi_{1}\right\|_{C^{k}(\bar{\Gamma})}^{2} \leq C h^{-1}\left\|\chi_{1}\right\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}^{2}
$$

Then we obtain by (5.22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(A_{e}\right)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1 / 2-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}, \quad s \in[0, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\}) . \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us extend $\psi_{1}$ by zero onto $\Gamma \backslash A_{e}$. Then $\psi_{1} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ and the norm $\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)}$ is obviously bounded as in (5.23) for $s=0$. Due to Lemma 3.5, this conclusion is also true for any $s \in(1 / 2, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$. Therefore, by using interpolation, we obtain for any $s \in$ $[0, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1 / 2-s} p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} . \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

To approximate the smooth part $\varphi_{2} \in H^{m}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$ of decomposition (5.21) we apply Proposition 4.1. There exists $\psi_{2} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(k-\tilde{s})}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{H^{k}(\Gamma)}, \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in(3 / 2, m]$ is integer, $\mu=\min \{k, p+1\}$, and $\tilde{s}$ is defined by (4.6).

Recalling the definition of the function $\chi_{2}^{e}$ in (5.20) (see Theorem 2.1), we conclude that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{2} \subset \bar{\Gamma} \cap \bar{R}_{3}^{h}$, where $R_{3}^{h}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; h_{0} \delta_{e}<x_{2}<2 \delta_{e}\right\}$. Hence we find by simple calculations

$$
\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{H^{k}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leq C(\log (1 / h))^{2 \beta} \int_{h_{0} \delta_{e}}^{2 \delta_{e}} x_{2}^{2(\gamma-k)} d x_{2}
$$

Then for any $k$ satisfying $k>\frac{3}{2}$ and $\gamma+\frac{1}{2} \leq k \leq m$ we obtain by (5.25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\gamma-k+1 / 2+\mu-s} p^{-(k-\tilde{s})} \log ^{\beta+\nu}(1 / h), \quad s \in[0,1], \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=\min \{k, p+1\}, \tilde{s}$ is defined by (4.6), $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ if $k=\gamma+\frac{1}{2}$, and $\nu=0$ if $k>\gamma+\frac{1}{2}$.
Now we set $u_{h p}:=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$. Then combining estimates (5.24), (5.26), making use of decomposition (5.21) and the triangle inequality we obtain for any $s \in[0, \min \{1, \gamma+1 / 2\})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\gamma+1 / 2-s} \max \left\{p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}, h^{\mu-k} p^{-(k-\tilde{s})}\right\}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta+\nu} . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p>2 \gamma+\frac{1}{2}$. Since $m$ is large enough, we can select an integer $k$ satisfying

$$
2 \gamma+\frac{3}{2}<k \leq \min \{m, p+1\} .
$$

Then $\mu=\min \{k, p+1\}=k, \max \left\{p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}, p^{-(k-\tilde{s})}\right\}=p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}$ for any $s \in[0,1]$, and (5.27) leads to (5.18).

If $\gamma-\frac{1}{2}<p \leq 2 \gamma+\frac{1}{2}$ (i.e., $p$ is bounded), we select an integer $k \in\left(\max \left\{\frac{3}{2}, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right\}, p+1\right]$, and if $p=\gamma-\frac{1}{2}$, then we choose $k=\gamma+\frac{1}{2}=p+1$. In both these cases $\mu=k, p^{-(k-\tilde{s})} \leq$ $C(\gamma) p^{-2(\gamma+1 / 2-s)}$ for any $s \in[0,1]$, and (5.18) is again deduced from (5.27).

If $1 \leq p<\gamma-\frac{1}{2}$, then $u \in H^{m}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$ with $\frac{3}{2}<m<\gamma+\frac{1}{2}$. In this case we apply Proposition 4.1 directly to the function $u$ : there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)}, \quad \mu=\min \{m, p+1\} .
$$

Hence, selecting $m \in\left[p+1, \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ we prove (5.19).

Remark 5.1 Observe that the proof of Theorem 5.2 also applies to the edge singularity terms given by (2.4). In fact, adjusting the support of the cut-off function $\chi_{1}^{e}$ in (2.4) it is easy to obtain $\chi_{1}^{e}=0$ on the edges $l_{v}, l_{w} \subset \partial A_{e}$. Therefore each component of $u^{e}$ can be written in the more general form (5.20) and the statement of Theorem 5.2 remains valid for $u=u^{e}$.

## 6 Approximation of vertex singularities

Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Gamma$ and let $A_{v}$ be the union of elements $\Gamma_{j}$ such that $v \in \bar{\Gamma}_{j}$.
Theorem 6.1 Let $u=u^{v}$ be given by (2.5). Then there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ with $p \geq \lambda$ such that for $0 \leq s \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\lambda+1-s} p^{-2(\lambda+1-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta+\nu} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\lambda_{1}^{v}>0, \beta=q_{1}^{v} \geq 0$ is integer, $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ if $p=\lambda$, and $\nu=0$ otherwise.
If $1 \leq p<\lambda$, then there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{p+1-s} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The idea and arguments in the proof below are the same as in the proofs of Lemma 4.2, Theorem 5.1, and Theorem 5.2. That is why we outline the proof omitting inessential details.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=r^{\lambda}|\log r|^{\beta} \chi^{v}(r) w(\theta), \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\lambda_{1}^{v}>0, \beta \geq 0$ is integer, $\chi^{v}$ is the same as in (2.5), $w \in H^{m}\left(0, \omega_{v}\right) \cap H_{0}^{1}\left(0, \omega_{v}\right)$, $\omega_{v}$ denotes the interior angle on $\Gamma$ at $v$, and $m$ is as large as required. Note that $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$, because $\lambda>0$.

We decompose $u$ as $u=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}:=u \chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right), \quad \varphi_{2}:=u\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right), \quad h_{0}=\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right)^{-1} h . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The singular function $\varphi_{1}$ has small support, $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{1} \subset \bar{A}_{v}$. Let $K^{h}=\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{v}$ and let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be a triangle or parallelogram such that $K^{h}=M(K)$ under the affine mapping $M: x_{i}=$ $h \hat{x}_{i}, i=1,2, x \in K^{h}, \hat{x} \in K$. Then $O=(0,0)$ is a vertex of $K$ and for $h<\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$
\hat{\varphi}_{1}(\hat{x})=\varphi_{1}\left(h \hat{x}_{1}, h \hat{x}_{2}\right)=h^{\lambda} \hat{r}^{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\beta}\binom{\beta}{k}|\log h|^{k}|\log \hat{r}|^{\beta-k} \chi^{v}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{r}\right) w(\hat{\theta}) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{l_{i}\right\}$ contain those sides $l_{i} \subset \partial K$ for which $O \in \bar{l}_{i}$, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the union of the other sides of $K$. Then applying Proposition 4.2 to each function $\hat{r}^{\lambda}|\log \hat{r}|^{k} \chi^{v}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{r}\right) w(\hat{\theta}), k=$ $0, \ldots, \beta$, we find a polynomial $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(K)$ such that $\hat{\phi}(0,0)=0, \hat{\phi}=0$ on $\mathcal{B}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{1}-\hat{\phi}\right\|_{H^{s}(K)} & \leq C(\beta) h^{\lambda} p^{-2(\lambda+1-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}, \quad s=0,1,  \tag{6.5}\\
\left\|\hat{\varphi}_{1}-\hat{\phi}\right\|_{L_{2}(l)} & \leq C(\beta) h^{\lambda} p^{-2(\lambda+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \quad \text { for every } l \in \mathcal{A} . \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define $\phi_{j}:=\hat{\phi} \circ M^{-1}$. Then $\phi_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right), \phi_{j}=0$ at the vertex $v$ and on the sides $l_{i}^{h} \in \mathcal{B}_{j}=M(\mathcal{B})$. Furthermore, making use of Lemma 3.1, we obtain by (6.5), (6.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\phi_{j}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)} & \leq C h^{\lambda+1-s} p^{-2(\lambda+1-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta}, \quad s=0,1  \tag{6.7}\\
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\phi_{j}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)} & \leq C h^{\lambda+1 / 2} p^{-2(\lambda+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \quad \text { for every } l^{h} \in \mathcal{A}_{j}=M(\mathcal{A}) . \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j} \subset A_{v}$ are two elements having the common edge $l^{h}=\bar{\Gamma}_{i} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{j}$. Let $\phi_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ and $\phi_{j} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ be the approximations of $\varphi_{1}$ constructed above and satisfying estimates (6.7), (6.8). Then the jump $g=\left.\left(\phi_{j}-\phi_{i}\right)\right|_{l^{h}}$ vanishes at the end points of $l^{h}$ and

$$
\|g\|_{L_{2}\left(l^{h}\right)} \leq C h^{\lambda+1 / 2} p^{-2(\lambda+1 / 2)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} .
$$

Hence, due to Lemma 3.4, there exists $z \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ such that $z=g$ on $l^{h}, z=0$ on $\partial \Gamma_{i} \backslash l^{h}$, and

$$
\|z\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \leq C h^{\lambda+1-s} p^{-2(\lambda+1-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} \quad s=0,1
$$

Setting $\tilde{\phi}=\phi_{i}+z$ on $\Gamma_{i}$ and $\tilde{\phi}=\phi_{j}$ on $\Gamma_{j}$ we find a continuous piecewise polynomial $\tilde{\phi}$ such that the norm $\left\|\varphi_{1}-\tilde{\psi}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{j}\right)}$ is bounded as in (6.7) for $s=0,1$.

Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be the edges of $\Gamma$ meeting at the vertex $v$. Since $w(0)=w\left(\omega_{v}\right)=0$, the function $\varphi_{1}$ vanishes on $e_{1}, e_{2}$. Therefore, using the same arguments as above we can adjust $\phi_{i}$ on each element $\Gamma_{i} \subset A_{v} \cap\left(A_{e_{1}} \cup A_{e_{2}}\right)$. Then we construct a polynomial $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ vanishing on $\partial \Gamma_{i} \cap \bar{e}_{k}$ with $k=1$ or 2 as appropriate.

Note that the number $\nu_{v}$ of elements in $A_{v}$ is independent of $h\left(\nu_{v} \leq \frac{\omega_{v}}{\theta_{0}}\right.$, where $\theta_{0}$ is the minimal angle of elements in the mesh). Therefore, repeating the above procedure we construct a continuous function $\psi_{1}$ such that $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ for each $\Gamma_{j} \subset A_{v}, \psi_{1}=0$ on $\partial A_{v}$, and the norm $\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}\left(A_{v}\right)}$ for $s=0,1$ is bounded as in (6.7). Extending $\psi_{1}$ by zero onto $\Gamma \backslash A_{v}$ we obtain $\psi_{1} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying for $s=0,1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{1}-\psi_{1}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\lambda+1-s} p^{-2(\lambda+1-s)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta} . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By interpolation we prove that (6.9) holds for $0 \leq s \leq 1$.
For the function $\varphi_{2}$ (see (6.4)) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{2}=r^{\lambda}|\log r|^{\beta} \chi^{v}(r)\left(1-\chi^{v}\left(r / h_{0}\right)\right) w(\theta) \in H^{m}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma), \\
& \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{2} \subset \bar{\Gamma} \cap \bar{R}^{h}, \quad \text { where } R^{h}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; \tau_{v} h_{0}<r<2 \tau_{v}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using (5.9) and (5.10) we find by simple calculations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{H^{k}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leq C(\log (1 / h))^{2 \beta} \int_{\tau_{v} h_{0}}^{2 \tau_{v}} r^{2(\lambda-k)} r d r, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, due to Proposition 4.1, there exists $\psi_{2} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ such that for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s} p^{-(k-\tilde{s})}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{H^{k}(\Gamma)} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in\left(\frac{3}{2}, m\right]$ is integer, $\mu=\min \{k, p+1\}$, and $\tilde{s}$ is defined by (4.6).
If $k$ satisfies $k>\frac{3}{2}$ and $\lambda+1 \leq k \leq m$ then (6.10) and (6.11) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{2}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\mu-s+\lambda-k+1} p^{-(k-\tilde{s})} \log ^{\beta+\nu}(1 / h), \quad s \in[0,1] \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ if $k=\lambda+1$, and $\nu=0$ if $k>\lambda+1$.
If $p \geq \lambda$, then similarly as in the proofs of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 we select an integer $k$ such that $\mu=k$ in (6.12) and $p^{-(k-\tilde{s})} \leq C(\lambda) p^{-2(\lambda+1-s)}$ for any $s \in[0,1]$. Then combination of (6.9) and (6.12) gives (6.1) with $u_{h p}:=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$.

The proof of estimate (6.2) is analogous to the proof of the corresponding results in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

## 7 General approximation result and proof of Theorem 2.2

By combination of the approximation results for singularities from Sections 5 and 6 we obtain a general approximation result for the function $u$ given by (2.3)-(2.7).

Theorem 7.1 Let the function $u$ be given by (2.3)-(2.7) on $\Gamma$ with $\gamma_{1}^{e}>0$ and $\lambda_{1}^{v}>0$. Also, let $v_{0} \in V, e_{0} \in E\left(v_{0}\right)$ be such that $\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}=\min _{v \in V, e \in E(v)} \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e}\right\}$, with $\lambda_{1}^{v}$ and $\gamma_{1}^{e}$ being as in (2.4)-(2.7). Then, for any $h>0$ and every $p \geq \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-1 / 2\right\}$, there exists a function $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}$ such that for $0 \leq s<\min \left\{1, \lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}+1 / 2\right\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C \max \{ & h^{\min \{k, p+1\}-s} p^{-(k-\tilde{s})} \\
& \left.h^{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}+1 / 2\right\}-s} p^{-2\left(\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}+1 / 2\right\}-s\right)}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta+\nu}\right\}, \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ and $\nu$ are defined by (2.11) and (2.12), respectively, $\tilde{s}=s$ if the mesh $\Delta_{h}$ on $\Gamma$ does not contain triangles, and $\tilde{s}$ is defined by (4.6) for meshes containing triangles.

If $1 \leq p<\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-1 / 2\right\}$, then for any $h>0$ there exists $u_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}$ such that for $s \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h p}\right\|_{H^{s}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\min \{k, p+1\}-s} . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To approximate the smooth part $u_{\text {reg }} \in H^{k}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$ of decomposition (2.3) we use Proposition 4.1, and applying Theorems 5.1, 5.2, and 6.1 we find piecewise polynomial approximations for the singularities $u^{e v}, u^{v}$, and $u^{e}$ on $\Gamma$ (see also Remark 5.1). Then combining the corresponding error estimates from these statements we obtain (7.1) and (7.2).

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Due to the regularity result of Theorem 2.1 and the quasi-optimal convergence of the BEM (see, e.g., [17]), one needs to find piecewise polynomial functions approximating the solution $u$ in (2.3) and satisfying the upper bounds stated in (2.10), (2.13).

Let $p \geq \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-1 / 2\right\}$. Then applying Theorem 7.1 we find $v_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ satisfying the upper bound given by (7.1). Since $\left(u-v_{h p}\right) \in H_{0}^{s^{\prime}}(\Gamma)$ for some $s^{\prime} \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, we obtain by interpolation between $H^{0}(\Gamma)$ and $H_{0}^{s^{\prime}}(\Gamma)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u-v_{h p}\right\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)} \leq C \max \{ & h^{\min \{k, p+1\}-1 / 2} p^{-(k-1 / 2-\varepsilon)} \\
& \left.h^{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}} p^{-2 \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e}}\right\}}(1+\log (p / h))^{\beta+\nu}\right\}, \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ and $\beta, \nu$ are the same as in (7.1).
Let us select $k>2 \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+\frac{1}{2}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}+\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$

$$
h^{\min \{k, p+1\}-1 / 2} p^{-(k-1 / 2-\varepsilon)} \leq h^{\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}} p^{-2 \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1 / 2, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}\right\}}
$$

and the desired error bound (see (2.10)) follows from (7.3).
If $1 \leq p<\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}-1 / 2\right\}$, then $u \in H^{m}(\Gamma) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Gamma)$ with $\frac{3}{2}<m<\min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Selecting $m \in\left[p+1, \min \left\{\lambda_{1}^{v_{0}}+1, \gamma_{1}^{e_{0}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)$ and applying Proposition 4.1 we find $v_{h p} \in V_{0}^{h, p}(\Gamma)$ such that

$$
\left\|u-v_{h p}\right\|_{\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{\min \{m, p+1\}-1 / 2}\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Gamma)} \leq C h^{p+1 / 2}
$$

which proves (2.13).
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