# Torelli Theorem for K3 surface, classic and derived version

Referencias:

- Lectures on K3 surfaces (Huybrechts). Page 22-24.
- The Fourier-Mukai transform in Algebraic geometry (Huybrechts) Chapter 10.1-10.2.

# 1 Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces

### 1.1 Ample cone of a K3 surface

The ample cone of X is the cone in  $NS(X)_\mathbb{R} = NS(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  generated by ample classes:

$$
\operatorname{Amp} X = \left\{ \sum_i a_i \mathcal{L}_i : \ \mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic} X \text{ is ample and } a_i \ge 0 \right\}.
$$

Since NS(X) has signature  $(1, \rho(X) - 1)$ , the set

$$
\mathcal{P}_X \coloneqq \{ w \in \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} : w^2 > 0 \}
$$

has two connected components. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_X$  the positive cone of X corresponding to the connected component of  $P_X$  containing the ample cone. Note that

$$
\mathcal{P}_X=\mathcal{C}_X\sqcup -\mathcal{C}_X
$$

We have the following result:

<span id="page-0-0"></span>**Proposition 1.** Let  $X$  be a K3 surface, then

$$
\operatorname{Amp} X = \{ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{C}_X : (\mathcal{L}, C) > 0 \text{ for every curve } C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X \}
$$

We start with the following short lemma:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $C \subset X$  be an integral curve. Then  $(C)^2 \ge -2$ . If  $C$  is a  $(-2)$ -curve, i.e.,  $(C)^2 = -2$ , then  $C$  is a smooth rational curve and so isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 

Proof. Recall the definition of the arithmetic genus:

$$
\rho_a(C) = 1 - \chi(C, \mathcal{O}_C).
$$

From the exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}(-C) \to \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}_C \to 0,
$$

we obtain  $\rho_a(C) = 1 + \chi(X, \mathcal{O}(-C)) - \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . By applying Riemann-Roch twice, we see that

$$
2\rho_a(C) - 2 = (C)^2.
$$

Let  $\nu : \tilde{C} \to C$  be the normalization. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to \nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \to \nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} / \mathcal{O}_C \to 0
$$

we obtain

$$
\rho_a(C) = g(\tilde{C}) + h^0(\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}/\mathcal{O}_C).
$$

From this we see that  $\rho_a(C)\geq 0$  and so  $(C)^2\geq -2.$  If  $(C)^2=-2,$  then  $h^0(\nu_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}/\mathcal{O}_C)=0$  and so  $C$  is a smooth rational curve.  $\Box$ 

*Proof.* (Proposition [1\)](#page-0-0) Let  $\mathcal L$  be a line bundle in  $\mathcal C_X$ . By Nakai-Moishezon-Kleiman criterion, it is enough to prove that  $(\mathcal{L}, C) > 0$  for every integral curve  $C \subset X$ . By the previous Lemma and the hypthesis, it is enough to prove it for the case when  $(C)^2\geq 0.$ Assume that  $(C)^2 \geq 0$ . Then

$$
C\in \overline{\mathcal{P}_X}=\overline{\mathcal{C}_X}\cup \overline{-\mathcal{C}_X}
$$

and so C is either in the closure  $\mathcal{C}_X$  or  $-\mathcal{C}_X$ . Now we show that  $C \notin \overline{-\mathcal{C}_X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Amp}(X)$ . Then we have  $(C, V) > 0$ . Now if  $C \in \overline{-\mathcal{C}}$ , from the fact that  $V \in \mathcal{C}_X$ , we may find a  $t \in (0, 1)$ such that  $((1 - t)C + tV)^2 = 0$ , but this is impossible since

$$
((1-t)C + tV)2 = (1-t)2(C)2 + 2t(1-t)(C, V) + t2(V)2 > 0.
$$

Therefore  $C \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_X}$ . Now consider an element  $M \neq 0$  in the boundary of  $\overline{\mathcal{C}_X}$ . Then  $M^2 = 0$ . If  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  < 0, from the equation

$$
((1-t)M + t\mathcal{L})^2 = 2t(1-t)(\mathcal{L}, M) + t^2(\mathcal{L})^2,
$$

we can see that by choosing  $t > 0$  small enough, we have  $((1-t)M + t\mathcal{L})^2 < 0$ , but this is impossible since  $(1-t)M + t\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{C}_X$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . Thus  $(\mathcal{L}, M) \geq 0$ . Moreover, from the fact that  $M^{\perp} = \{v \in \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} : (M, v) = 0\}$  is a plane (the pairing in non-degenerated) and  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{C}_X$ , we have that  $(\mathcal{L}, M) > 0$ . Indeed, if  $(\mathcal{L}, M) = 0$ , then  $M^{\perp}$  would divide the cone  $\mathcal{C}_X$  in two non-empty parts, one where the intersection with  $M$  is positive and one where it is negative, but this is impossible as  $(\mathcal{L}',M)\geq 0$  for every  $\mathcal{L}'\in \mathcal{C}_X$  (just as we proved for  $\mathcal{L}$ ). Finally, since very element in  $\overline{C_X}$  is a positive linear combination of elements M in the boundary of  $\overline{C_X}$ , we conclude that  $(\mathcal{L}, C) > 0$ .  $\Box$ 

## 1.2 Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces

Let  $X$  be a K3 surface. As we saw last week, the abelian group

$$
H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})
$$

endowed with cup product is a lattice abstractly isomorphic to

$$
E_8^{\oplus 2}\oplus U^{\oplus 3}
$$

where  $U$  is the hyperbolic lattice. The complex structure of  $X$  induces a Hodge-structure on  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$  via the standard Hodge-decomposition on  $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ :

$$
H^{2}(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes \mathbb{C} = H^{2}(X,\mathbb{C}) = H^{2,0}(X)\oplus H^{1,1}(X)\oplus H^{0,2}(X)
$$

where we have isomorphisms  $H^{p,q}(X)\simeq H^q(X,\Omega^q),$  and  $H^{p,q}(X)$  and  $H^{p',q'}(X)$  are orthogonal if  $(p, q) \neq (p', q')$ . Let X, Y be two K3 surfaces (or two compact complex surfaces). A Hodgeisometry  $\varphi$  :  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$  is an isometry of lattices such that its linear extension  $\varphi_\mathbb{C}: H^2(X,\mathbb{C})\to H^2(Y,\mathbb{C})$  satisfies:

$$
\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(H^{p,q}(X)) = H^{p,q}(Y).
$$

The following theorem says that the lattice  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$  and its Hodge-structure determines the K3 surface.

**Theorem 3** (Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces). Let  $X, Y$  be two K3 surfaces. Then X is isomorphic to Y iff there is a Hodge-isometry  $\varphi: H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ .

#### Remarks:

(i) When  $X = Y$ , there is a natural group morphism

$$
Aut X \to \{\varphi: H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}): \varphi \text{ is Hodge-isometry}\}, \qquad f \mapsto f_*.
$$

This map is not surjective, i.e, not every Hodge isometry is of the form  $f_*$ . One important example is the following: let  $C \subset X$  be a  $(-2)$ -curve, i,e, its class  $\delta = c_1(C)$  satisfies  $\delta^2=-2$  (for example,  $X$  could be a Kummer surface and  $C$  one of the exceptional divisors). The linear map

$$
s_{\delta}: H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \qquad s_{\delta}(v) = v + (v, \delta) \cdot \delta
$$

corresponding to the orthogonal reflection along the plane  $H_\delta = \delta^\perp$  is a Hodge isometry. Indeed, it is an isometry:

$$
(s_{\delta}(v), s_{\delta}(w)) = (v + (v, \delta)\delta, w + (w, \delta)\delta) = (v, w) + 2(v, w) + (v, w)\delta^{2} = (v, w).
$$

It respects the Hodge-structure: its  $\mathbb C\text{-}$ linear extension acts as the identity on  $H^{2,0}(X)$  and  $H^{0,2}(X),$  and preserves  $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{Z}).$ 

If  $f_* = \varphi$  for some  $f \in Aut(X)$ . For an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in Pic(X)$  we have

$$
(s_{\delta}(c_1(\mathcal{L})),\delta)=-(c_1(\mathcal{L}),\delta)=-\deg \mathcal{L}|_C<0
$$

but  $s_{\delta}(c_1(\mathcal{L}))$  is also the class of an ample line bundle and so it is positive which is impossible.

These isometries are called Lefschetz-Hodge reflections:

(ii) The condition that  $\varphi$  sends an ample class to an ample class in order to be of the from  $f_*$ for some isomorphism  $f : X \to Y$  is actually sufficient.

**Theorem 4.** A Hodge-isometry  $\varphi$  :  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$  lifts to an isomorphism iff its  $\mathbb R$ -linear extension  $\varphi_\mathbb R$  preserves the ample cone.<sup>[1](#page-3-0)</sup>.

Using Proposition [1,](#page-0-0) we can prove that every Hodge isometry  $\varphi$  is of the form

$$
\varphi = \pm s_{\delta_1} \circ \ldots \circ \delta s_{\delta_k} \circ f_*
$$

for some isomorphism  $f: X \to Y$  and a sequence of L-H reflections  $\{s_{\delta_i}\}_i.$  The basic idea is the following. Let  $c = c_1(\mathcal{L})$  be the class of an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in Pic(X)$ . Since  $\varphi$ fixes  $\mathcal{P}_X$ , then either after multiplying by  $-1$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\varphi(c) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ . If  $\varphi(c)$  is not in the ample cone, then Proposition [1](#page-0-0) would imply that there is a curve  $C\simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ such that  $(\varphi(c), C) < 0$ . The L-H reflection associated  $S_{\delta}$  to C then change the sign of the intersection. We can find a sequence of such smooth rational curves  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  such that the class

 $c' = \pm \pm s_{\delta_1} \circ \ldots \circ \delta s_{\delta_k} \circ \varphi(c)$ 

has positive intersection with each  $\mathbb{P}^1.$  $\mathbb{P}^1.$  $\mathbb{P}^1.$  Proposition 1 then implies that  $c'$  is the class of an ample line bundle and the Theorem above implies that the desired form for  $\varphi$ .

## 2 Derived categories and Derived Torelli Theorem

The derived category  $D(X)$  of a variety X was initially created as a formal object to define derived functors and compute cohomology of sheaves on  $X$ . But since the work of Bondal and Orlov, the derived category has turned out to be a very interesting variety specially in the context of moduli spaces.

Two smooth varieties  $X, Y$  are called derived equivalent if  $D(X) \simeq D(Y)$ . In general  $D(X) \simeq D(Y)$  doesn't imply that  $X \simeq Y$ , but it stills a very interesting question to relate  $X$  and  $Y$ .

The derived Torelli Theorem, due to Mukai and Orlov, gives sufficient and necessary conditions for two K3 surfaces to be derived equivalent in terms of the Mukai lattice:

$$
\tilde{H}^*(X,\mathbb{Z}) = (H^*(X,\mathbb{Z}), \langle, \rangle)
$$

where the bilinear product is given by

$$
\langle (r, c, d) \cdot (r', c', d') \rangle = cc' - rd' - r'd,
$$

for  $(r, c, d), (r', c', d') \in H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$  It has a Hodge-structure of weight 2 given by

$$
\tilde{H}^*(X,\mathbb{Z})^{2,0} = H^{2,0}(X), \quad \tilde{H}^*(X,\mathbb{Z})^{0,2} = H^{0,2}(X), \quad \tilde{H}^*(X,\mathbb{Z})^{1,1} = H^0(X,\mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{1,1}(X) \oplus H^4(X,\mathbb{C})
$$

As in the classic setting, we say that an isometry between the Mukai lattice of two K3 surfaces is a Hodge-isometry if it respects this Hodge-structure.

**Theorem 5.** Let X, Y be two K3 surfaces. Then  $D(X) \simeq D(Y)$  iff there is a Hodge-isometry  $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^*(Y,\mathbb{Z}).$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>When X is not projective, we require that  $\varphi_{\mathbb{R}}$  preserves the Khaler cone