

Serie : Mutations in Derived Categories

(1)

§1 Mutations & Helices

$X = \text{del Pezzo surface}$ is $-K_X$ ample.

- (1) Every exceptional collection can be extended to a full exceptional collection $\langle E_1, \dots, E_r \rangle$ in $D^b(X)$.
- (2) Every object in $\langle E_1, \dots, E_r \rangle$ is a sheaf (up to $[s]$)
- (3) In fact, E_i are either excep. vector bundles or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d)$ $\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow X$ (-1) -curve.
- (4) Brauer group acts transitively on full excep. collections.
- (5) in $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$ exceptional collections $\langle E, F, G \rangle$ of vector (Rudakov) bundles, up to tensoring with line bundle L , are determined by their ranks (e, f, g) which satisfy $e^2 + f^2 + g^2 = 3efg$ "Markov equation".

Theorem: X smooth proj. rational surface. Then \exists a full except. collection of line bundles.

Pf | - Either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ or \mathbb{F}_n or $X = \text{Bl}_p(S)$ with exc. divisor E .
 $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2) = \langle \mathcal{O}(-2), \mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O} \rangle$. More generally, by Orlov's proj. bundle formula, $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ \mathbb{P}^r -bundle
 SOID $D^b(X) = \langle \pi^* D^b(Y)(-r), \dots, \pi^* D^b(Y)(-1), \pi^* D^b(Y) \rangle$
 so $\mathbb{F}_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1$ projective bundle with fibers F , $N = \text{neg. section}$
 $D^b(\mathbb{F}_n) = \langle \mathcal{O}(-N-F), \mathcal{O}(-N), \mathcal{O}(-F), \mathcal{O} \rangle$
 If $X = \text{Bl}_p(S) \Rightarrow$ by Orlov's blow-up $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ blow-up and mutation $D^b(S) = \langle L_1, \dots, L_k \rangle$
 $D^b(X) = \langle \underset{\substack{\mathbb{P}^1 \\ \nwarrow \text{torsion sheaf}}}{\mathcal{O}_E(-1)}, \pi^* L_1, \dots, \pi^* L_k \rangle$

Lemma: $\langle E_1, \dots, E_r \rangle$ exc collection $\Rightarrow \langle E_2, \dots, E_r, E_1(-k) \rangle$ exc. coll.

Proof: $\text{Hom}(E_i(-k), E_i[n]) = \text{Hom}(E_i, E_i(k)[n])$
 $= \text{Hom}(E_i, E_i[m])^* = 0 \quad \forall m, i$
 Same

E_1, \dots, E_r Full coll $\Rightarrow E_2, \dots, E_r, E_1(-k)$ full collection.

$E_1(-k)$ = mutation of E_1 all the way to the right. ■

Therefore, $\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \pi^*L_1, \dots, \pi^*L_k, \mathcal{O}_E(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-k) \rangle$
 $= \langle \pi^*L_1, \dots, \pi^*L_k, \mathcal{O}_E \rangle$ but $\pi^*(L_1) \not\in \mathcal{O}_E$
 \rightarrow torsion

by π^*L_k Then $\langle M_1, \dots, M_{k-1}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_E \rangle$. Use $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0$

see that $\langle \mathcal{O}(-E), \mathcal{O} \rangle$ is exceptional pair of line bundles, so $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}(E)) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 0$.

Claim: $\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle M_1, \dots, M_{k-1}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_E \rangle$

proof: $\text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}(-E), M_i) = 0 \quad \forall i, k$, $\text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}, M_i) = \text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}_E, M_i) = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_E \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}(-E), \mathcal{O} \rangle$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$.

★ Conjecture (Orlov): $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ has a full exact collection $\Rightarrow X$ is rational.
 (X any dimension)

Theorem (Pardini) Every numerical exceptional coll. of maximal possible length on a numerical rational surface ($g = p_g = 0$) can be mutated on exceptional collection of objects of rank 1.

Riemann-Roch arithmetic:

$$D^0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X) = K(\text{Coh}(X)) = K(\text{Vect}_{\text{thick}}(X))$$

$$E \mapsto [E]$$

$$E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\text{exact}}} [F] = [E] - [G]$$

$$[F[1]] = -[F]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Euler form: } \chi(E, F) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i(E, F)$$

$$\text{Hom}(E, F[i])$$

$$j: K_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus NS(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

$$E \mapsto \text{rk} \quad c_1 \quad \chi$$

is surjective [Take $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_P$]

ker j = kernel of the Euler pairing because of Riemann-Roch.

rank(E) = e

$$\chi(E, F) = -ef \underbrace{\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)}_{=1} - c_1(E) \cdot c_1(F) + f K_X \cdot c_1(E) + e \chi(F) + f \chi(E)$$

$$\chi(E, F) = \chi(F, E) + K_X \cdot (f c_1(E) - e c_1(F))$$

Say E is numerically exceptional $\Leftrightarrow \chi(E, E) = 1$. (Definition)

$$1 = -e^2 - c_1(E)^2 + 2e \chi(E) + e K_X \cdot c_1(E)$$

$$\text{rank } E = e = 0 \Leftrightarrow c_1(E)^2 = -1 \text{ "numerical exceptional (-1)-curve"}$$

$$e \neq 0 \Rightarrow \chi(E) = \dots$$

suppose that E, F are numerically exceptional

$$e, f \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(E, F) = \frac{1}{2} (\chi(E, F) - \chi(F, E)) + \frac{1}{2} (\chi(E, F) + \chi(F, E))$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2} K_X \cdot c_1(E \otimes F) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_1(E, F)^2 + e^2 + f^2}{ef}$$

$$c_1(E, F) = e c_1(F) - f c_1(E)$$

Take $K_0(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle E, F, G \rangle$ numerically exceptional $\chi(F, E) = \chi(G, E) = \chi(G, F) = 0$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} a &= \chi(E, F) \\ b &= \chi(E, G) \\ c &= \chi(F, G) \end{aligned}$$

Numerical Serre duality.

$$\chi(u, v) = u^T B v = v^T B^T u = v^T B (B^{-1} B^T) u = \chi(v, \underbrace{B^{-1} B^T u}_{S(u)})$$

Let's compute $\text{Tr}(B^{-1} B^T)$. First $B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & a+b \\ 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Then $\text{trace} = 3 - a^2 - b^2 - c^2 + abc$ same for any a, b, c .

$$\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2) \text{ gives } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{trace} = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = abc \quad \text{"triple Markov"} \quad \boxed{\Rightarrow} \quad (3a, 3b, 3c)$$

$$(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3abc \quad \text{usual Markov}) \quad (a, b, c)_{\text{solution}}$$

Then $\chi(E, F), \chi(E, G), \chi(F, G)$ is solution of triple Markov.

$$\chi(E, F) = \chi(E, F) - \chi(F, E) = -K_X \cdot c_1(E, F)$$

In $\mathbb{P}^2, -K_X = 3H$, so $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(E, F) = 3c_1(E, F)$$

$\Rightarrow c_1(E, F), c_1(E, G), c_1(F, G)$ are solutions of the usual Markov.

Lemma: $c_1(E, F) = g$. And so $c_1(F, G) = \text{rk}(E(-K)) = e$
 $\langle E, F, G \rangle, \langle F, G, E(-K) \rangle$

and so $E, G, R_G(F)$ $c_1(E, G) = \text{rk}(R_G(F))$
mutation

\Rightarrow ranks of $E, G, R_G(F)$ satisfy Markov \Rightarrow ranks all satisfy Markov.

Lemma: $c_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = g$

(5)

proof: $\chi(g, \mathcal{F}) = \chi(g, \varepsilon) = \chi(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon) = 0 \quad e, f, g \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \frac{3}{2} c_1(g, \mathcal{F}) + \frac{1}{2g^2 f} (c_1(g, \mathcal{F})^2 + g^2 + f^2) = 0$ / $-2e^2 f g$

$\frac{3}{2} c_1(g, \varepsilon) + \dots = 0$ / $2f^2 e g$

$\frac{3}{2} c_1(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon) + \dots = 0$ / $-2g^2 e f$

(and c_i 's satisfy Markov)

odd: $c_1(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon) \cdot c_1(g, \mathcal{F}) = e g$

Rotate $c_1(\mathcal{F}, g) \cdot c_1(g, \varepsilon(-k)) = f e$ rotation!

$c_1(g, \varepsilon(-k)) \cdot \underbrace{c_1(\varepsilon(-k), \mathcal{F}(-k))}_{c_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})} = f g$

$\frac{(1) \times (3)}{(2)} : c_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})^2 = g^2$

$\Rightarrow c_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = g \quad \square$

Thm (Markov) All solutions to $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3abc$ can be obtained from $(1, 1, 1)$ by $(a, b, c) \rightarrow (3bc - a, b, c)$.

proof [Hint: choose a maximal $3bc - a < a$]

claim: Mutations of solutions correspond to mutations in the numerically exceptional collection,

proof: $\chi(E, E) = 1 \quad k_0(X) \xrightarrow{L_E} E \perp \quad M \mapsto \chi(E, M) E - M$
 $\xrightarrow{R_E} \perp E \quad M \mapsto \chi(M, E) E - M$

$(A, B, C) \rightarrow (B, R_B(A), C)$

except triple $\chi(A, B) B - A$

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(\chi(A,B)B - A) = \chi(A,B)b - a \quad \text{remember: } \chi(A,B) = 3c(A,B) = 3c$$

$$= 3bc - a$$

Corollary: All numerically exceptional triples in $K_0(P^2)$ can be obtained from triples of rank 1.

Lemma on del Pezzo surface, Exceptional $E \in D^b$ is determined by $[E] \in K_0$. $e \neq 0$

Pf - Cohomology, estimates, Mukai Lemma. E is an exceptional vector bundle.

Then show that E is stable wrt $-K$.

$[E] = [E']$ except. \Rightarrow both stable, say $E \neq E'$

$$\text{Hom}(E, E') = 0 = \text{Ext}^2(E, E')$$

$$\chi(E, E') \leq 0$$

$$\parallel \text{RR} \quad \rightarrow \leftarrow$$

$$\chi(E, E) = 1$$

[restrict E to a smooth member of $|H-K|$
 C , $E|_C$ is simple vector bundle
 $\Rightarrow E|_C$ is stable by Atiyah]